Time evolution of spin systems in a generalized Wigner representation

Bálint Koczor,[1,*] Robert Zeier,[1,†] and Steffen J. Glaser[1,‡]

[1] *Department Chemie, Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstrasse 4, 85747 Garching, Germany*

(Dated: December 20, 2016)

Phase-space representations as given by Wigner functions are a powerful tool for representing the quantum state and characterizing its time evolution in the case of infinite-dimensional quantum systems and have been widely used in quantum optics and beyond. However, much less is known for finite-dimensional quantum systems such as spin systems and we present a complete theory of Wigner functions for this finite-dimensional case. In particular, we provide a self-contained Wigner formalism for describing and predicting the time evolution of coupled spins which lends itself to visualizing the high-dimensional structure of multi-partite quantum states. We completely treat the case of an arbitrary number of spins 1/2, thereby establishing the equation of motion using Wigner functions. The explicit form of the time evolution is then calculated for up to three spins 1/2. The underlying physical principles of our Wigner representations are illustrated with multiple examples for coupled spin systems which are easily translatable to other experimental scenarios.

Keywords: Quantum formalism, Quantum theory, Phase space methods, Phase space dynamics, Nuclear magnetic resonance

arXiv:1612.06777v1 [quant-ph] 20 Dec 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Prior to the emergence of quantum mechanics, geometric intuition played a particularly strong role in the formulation of classical physics. Breaking with this tradition, quantum mechanics is often formulated abstractly by Hilbert-space operators such as the density operator describing the quantum state or the Hamiltonian corresponding to the total energy of the system. The demand for an intuitive formulation of quantum mechanics has driven the development of the so-called Wigner-Weyl formalism [1–4] which is equivalent to other formulations of quantum mechanics, but its phase-space approach mirrors the classical phase space. Moreover, the time evolution of these quantum systems can be entirely characterized on the level of Wigner functions [5, 6] and in a similar fashion as the evolution of a statistical ensemble of classical particles.

In contrast to quantum systems with an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space as studied in quantum optics, the Wigner formalism is much less explored for finite-dimensional quantum systems such as spins and we present a complete theory of Wigner functions for this finite-dimensional case. How does the Wigner function of a quantum state evolve in this case? Given the Wigner function of a Hamiltonian, how can one predict the Wigner function of a quantum state at a later time without relying on explicit matrices? We put a particular emphasis on coupled quantum systems and develop a Wigner formalism for coupled spins which is capable of describing their time evolution. In addition, our Wigner representation is also suited for graphically visualizing the high-dimensional structure of multi-partite quantum states or operators in a compact and instructive form.

As our results might be of interest to a wider audience, we present our work on several levels. Most importantly, the underlying physical principles are highlighted through a set of illustrative examples for coupled spin systems, which are easily translatable to experimental approaches for realizing qubits in trapped ions, quantum dots, or superconducting circuits. We also present and discuss the mathematical formulation of our Wigner representation in sufficient detail for facilitating theoretical extensions in the future.

We continue this introduction by first reviewing basic properties of Wigner functions of infinite-dimensional quantum systems which will motivate and guide our approach. Then, we summarize results from the literature for both Wigner functions and visualization techniques of finite-dimensional quantum systems. Finally, before starting the main text, we provide a summary of our results and outline the structure of this work.

A. Wigner functions of infinite-dimensional quantum systems

Even though we focus almost exclusively on Wigner functions of finite-dimensional quantum systems such as spin systems, we will shortly review how the time evolution is established for Wigner functions of infinite-dimensional quantum systems. This will also set the stage for related techniques in the finite-dimensional case. In general, quantum mechanics describes how the quantum state evolves under the action of a Hamiltonian and there are at least three independent approaches to this description: the Hilbert-space formalism relying on matrices and operators [7], the path-integral method [8], and the Wigner phase-space approach [9–17].

We consider here the latter approach which particularly eases the comparison with classical mechanics. Groenewold [5] and Moyal [6] formalized quantum me-

* balint.koczor@tum.de
† zeier@tum.de
‡ glaser@tum.de

chanics as a statistical theory on a classical phase space by associating the density operator in the Hilbert space with a function on the phase space and interpreting this correspondence as the inverse of the Weyl transformation [1–3]. In particular, the density operator ρ can be represented by a Wigner function [4] $W_\rho(x,p)$ which constitutes a quasiprobability function in classical phase-space coordinates x and p. A general framework for this theory was given by Bayen *et al.* [18, 19].

More precisely, the Wigner formalism represents the density operator ρ of an infinite-dimensional quantum system as the Fourier transformation (cf. p. 68 in [16])

$$W_\rho(x,p) = \mathcal{W}(\rho) = 1/(2\pi h) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\rho}(x,\xi) \exp(-ip\xi/h)\, \mathrm{d}\xi,$$

where $\tilde{\rho}(x,\xi)$ is given by $\langle x+\xi/2|\rho|x-\xi/2\rangle$, and $\mathcal{W}(\rho)$ denotes the Wigner transformation of ρ. The Wigner function $W_\rho(x,p)$ is real, normalized (i.e., $\int W_\rho(x,p)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}p = \mathrm{tr}(\rho) = 1$), and bounded (i.e., $-2/h \le W_\rho(x,p) \le 2/h$). Integrating $W_\rho(x,p)$ over the variable p results in the quantum-mechanical probability densities $P(x)$ in the coordinate x, and *vice versa* if x and p are exchanged. More generally, an arbitrary operator A is associated with its Wigner function $W_A(x,p)$, and the quantum-mechanical expectation value $\langle A\rangle = \int W_\rho(x,p) W_A(x,p)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}p$ is then computed as a classical, statistical average over phase-space distributions.

In the Hilbert-space formalism, a quantum state is described by the density operator ρ and its time evolution is governed by the von-Neumann equation

$$i\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = [\mathcal{H},\rho] := \mathcal{H}\rho - \rho\mathcal{H} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the Hamiltonian of the quantum system. The time evolution of a Wigner function can be directly calculated in the phase-space representation by introducing a so-called star product [5, 18, 19], which mimics the Wigner function $\mathcal{W}(AB) = W_A(x,p) \star W_B(x,p)$ of the product AB of Hilbert space operators. The appropriate form $\star = \exp(i\hbar\{\cdot,\cdot\}/2)$ of the star product was given by Groenewold [5] where $\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \overleftarrow{\partial}_x \overrightarrow{\partial}_p - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_x$ is the Poisson bracket known from classical physics (cf. Vol. 1, §42 in [20]). As an important consequence reflecting a classical equation of motion, the time evolution of a Wigner function is given by (see Eq. (10) in [14])

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial t} = [W_\mathcal{H}, W_\rho]_\star := W_\mathcal{H} \star W_\rho - W_\mathcal{H} \star W_\rho. \tag{2}$$

and can be determined as an expansion series in $\hbar$, whose first term is given by the Poisson bracket $\{W_\mathcal{H}, W_\rho\}$. The Wigner formalism for infinite-dimensional quantum systems is well established and widely used in quantum optics and beyond. However, much less is known for finite-dimensional quantum systems [21], and it is our aim to develop a parallel theory leading to a version of Eq. (2) applicable to coupled spin systems.

B. Prior work on Wigner functions of finite-dimensional quantum systems

Fundamental postulates for phase-space representations of finite-dimensional quantum systems were proposed by Stratonovich [30] (see Appendix C 1), and these build the foundations for Wigner functions of finite-dimensional quantum systems. Reflecting the translational covariance of Wigner functions of infinite-dimensional quantum systems, one of these postulates states that the Wigner function has to transform naturally under rotations. The rotational covariance constrains Wigner representations of spins into a spherical coordinate systems. The resulting Wigner functions can then be given by linear combinations of spherical harmonics, offering a convenient tool for visualizing spins (see Sec. I C).

The Wigner transformation of single-spin operators was developed by Várilly and Gracia-Bondía [25] and was then further extended by Brif and Mann [26, 31]. In particular, [25] provides an explicit formula for the Wigner transformation for a single spin J, which satisfies the Stratonovich postulates. This formula uses a rank-j-dependent kernel which is based on mapping transition operators $|Jm\rangle\langle Jm'|$ onto their corresponding Wigner functions $W_{|Jm\rangle\langle Jm'|}$; the connection between tensor operators ${}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ and spherical harmonics Y_{jm} was also mentioned. A more general kernel for the phase-space repre-

TABLE I. Results from the literature for the Wigner formalism of N spins with spin number J. Explicit references are given for the Wigner transformation $\mathcal{W}(A)$, the star product $f \star g$, and the equation of motion $\partial W_\rho/\partial t$. Cases not considered in the literature are left blank.

N	Descr.	Arb. J (incl. $J = 1/2$)
$N = 1$	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	Eq. (2.14) in [25] + Eq. (3.29) in [26]
	$f \star g$	see [27]
	$\partial W_\rho/\partial t$	[a] [b]
$N = 2$	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	Eq. (30) in [28]
	$f \star g$	
	$\partial W_\rho/\partial t$	[c]
Arb. N	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	[29][d]

[a] Equation (61) in [27] states the equation of motion for the limit of $J \to \infty$ using only the Poisson bracket.

[b] Equation (5.13) in [25] provides the equation of motion of a spin J for linear Hamiltonians using only the Poisson bracket.

[c] The semiclassical equation of motion (for $J \gg 1$) is computed for a particular Hamiltonian ($\chi I_{1z} I_{2z}$) in Eq. (34) of [28] and conforms with our results shown in Sec. II B 2.

[d] The kernel for the Wigner representation is explicitly given in terms of the so-called displaced parity operator for a single spin J (see Eq. (8) in [29]) and for N spins 1/2 (see Eq. (9) in [29]).

sentation of a single spin was stated in [26, 31]. It defines Wigner functions of tensor operators ${}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ of single spins as the corresponding spherical harmonics Y_{jm}. We build on these results in Sec. III B while also unifying normalization factors.

Parallel to our work, a general kernel was proposed in [29] which is based on the so-called displaced parity operator [32]. The explicit form of the kernel is computed for the special cases of a single spin J (see Eq. (8) in [29]) and for N spins 1/2 (see Eq. (9) in [29]). It conforms with our results on spin Wigner functions. This kernel also fulfills the covariance property under local rotations and the authors discuss the importance of this property.

In contrast to the infinite-dimensional case, the star product formalism is less explored for a curved phase space. Várilly and Gracia-Bondía [25] discuss both the integral and differential form of a (twisted) star product of Wigner representations. Carrying out explicit calculations for particular Hamiltonians (containing only I_x, I_y, I_z [33]) they conclude that in this case a stronger version of the Ehrenfest theorem holds for the equation of motion. Namely the time evolution is exactly given by the Poisson bracket known from classical physics.

Klimov and Espinoza [27] determined an exact form of the differential star product for an arbitrary spin number J. This star product is a sum of a pointwise product of two functions and combinations of derivatives with respect to spherical coordinates. The method also requires a rank-j-dependent correction in the spherical-harmonics decomposition which defines the Wigner function, as well as the truncation of the maximal rank j. For the restriction of their expression to a spin number of 1/2, the calculation of the star product is more complicated then in the current paper (details for generalizing our approach to an arbitrary spin number J will be discussed elsewhere), however, the derived equation of motion results in the Poisson bracket [34], just as in [25] and in the current paper. Similarly, the results of [35] contain spherical functions in a particular limit in which the star commutator is given by the Poisson bracket. See Table I for a summary of results known in the literature.

C. Visualization techniques for spins

There are numerous approaches for visualizing finite-dimensional quantum systems. Feynman *et al.* [36] represents operators in a two-level quantum system using three-dimensional (real) vectors which can be interpreted as a Bloch vector, field vector, or rotation vector. This representation is widely used in many fields, including magnetic resonance imaging [33, 37] and spectroscopy [33] or quantum optics [16].

As in the present work, spin operators (as tensor operators [38]) have often been represented and visualized by spherical harmonics [39]. In early work by Pines *et al.* [40], selected density operator terms of a spin-1 particle are illustrated using spherical harmonics, see also [41, 42]. Multiple non-interacting two-level atoms are depicted by Wigner functions in Dowling *et al.* [43]. We also refer to similar illustrations in [44]. Single-spin systems are visualized in [45] using spherical harmonics while stressing applications in nuclear-magnetic resonance. The appendix of [45] also discusses whether their method could be extended to coupled spins. Certain restricted cases of two coupled spins were considered in [46]. Harland *et al.* [47] introduces a method for visualizing particular states in two- and three-spin systems.

A general method for representing and visualizing arbitrary operators of coupled-spin systems was proposed in [48]: This so-called DROPS representation establishes a bijective mapping between operators and spherical functions by mapping tensor operators to spherical harmonics. Important features as symmetries under simultaneous rotations or certain permutation as well as the set of involved spins are preserved and highlighted in its pictorial presentation. We discuss relations to our visualization method in Appendix D.

The theoretical methods used in [29] (as discussed in Sec. I B) also yield a visualization technique for finite-dimensional coupled quantum systems, which is covariant under rotations as in this work. For single spins, their approach (see Fig. 1(a)-(c) in [29]) is comparable to [48] and this work. However for coupled spins, [29] depicts only slices of their high-dimensional Wigner functions (see Figure 1(d)-(f) and Figure 2 in [29]). In our representation, high-dimensional Wigner functions are visualized by decomposing them into sums of product operators.

D. Summary of results

The current work systematically develops a generalized Wigner formalism for finite-dimensional coupled quantum systems. It is our goal to describe the time evolution of these systems only using Wigner functions and not relying on operators or matrices. Wigner functions of coupled quantum systems can be uniquely characterized using multiple spherical coordinates θ_k and ϕ_k. This leads to intricate, high-dimensional functions which are difficult to visualize. We resolve this difficulty and present an approach that decomposes a high-dimensional Wigner function into a linear combination of products of spherical harmonics, which can be conveniently visualized while highlighting crucial properties of coupled quantum systems. We denote our approach by the abbreviation PROPS which is assembled from the letters of "product operators." We emphasize that a given high-dimensional Wigner function has usually multiple different but equivalent PROPS representations.

Our characterization of the time evolution leads to a self-contained theory of finite-dimensional quantum systems, while we focus in this work mainly on coupled spins 1/2. The determination of the correct star product constitutes the cornerstone of our approach for providing a replacement of the von-Neumann equation applicable to

TABLE II. Results presented in this work for the Wigner formalism of N spins with spin number J. Explicit references are given for the Wigner transformation $\mathcal{W}(A)$, the star product $f \star g$, and the equation of motion $\partial W_\rho/\partial t$. Cases not considered here are left blank.

N	Descr.	$J = 1/2$	Arb. J
$N = 1$	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	Eq. (25)	
	$f \star g$	Def. 1	
	$\partial W_\rho/\partial t$	Eq. (54)	
$N = 2$	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	Sec. III E 1	
	$f \star g$	Eq. (61)	
	$\partial W_\rho/\partial t$	Eq. (62)	
$N = 3$	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	Sec. III E 2	
	$f \star g$	Eq. (63)	
	$\partial W_\rho/\partial t$	Eq. (64)[a]	
Arb. N	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	Eq. (32)	Eq. (32)
	$f \star g$	Eq. (57) + Def. 2	
	$\partial W_\rho/\partial t$	Eq. (70) (up to bilin. Hamiltonians)	Eq. (55) (only lin. Hamiltonians)

[a] for bilinear Hamiltonians see Eq. (70)

Wigner functions. The explicit equation of motion is calculated for up to three coupled spins 1/2 as well as an arbitrary number of spins 1/2 in the particular case of natural coupling Hamiltonians. Refer to Table II for an overview of the results presented in the current work.

1. Results for a single spin J

A single-spin operator A is mapped to a Wigner function $W_A(\theta, \phi)$ by decomposing A into a linear combination of tensor operators which can be directly mapped to spherical harmonics (see Sec. III B), and the corresponding Wigner transformation is stated in Eq. (25). For specifying the time evolution of Wigner functions, one needs to introduce the star product $W_A \star W_B$ of Wigner functions W_A and W_B which is defined by its relation to the Wigner function $W_{AB} = W_A \star W_B$ of a product of operators A and B (see Sec. III B 3). There are two approaches to compute the star product. The first approach is known as the integral star product and relies on an integral transformation of the functions W_A and W_B using a so-called trikernel [25], and we detail this form in Appendix F for a single spin J.

The second approach features a differential form which is more convenient for computations. We calculate the exact form for a single spin 1/2: it is a sum of a pointwise product $W_A W_B$ and the Poisson bracket $\{W_A, W_B\}$. This provides a simplified form as compared to the results of [27], and its structure is similar to the structure of the infinite-dimensional star product. We also derive an algebraic expansion formula for the star product of spherical harmonics in general (see Sec. III C 1), paving the way for a generalization to an arbitrary spin number J. We apply the explicit form of the star product to determine the equation of motion for a single spin 1/2 and obtain a particularly simple form given by the Poisson bracket [see Eq. (54)].

We also point out connections to similar characterizations. The Poisson bracket is directly related to the canonical angular momentum operator $\mathcal{L} = r \times p$ which generates infinitesimal rotations of the sphere and is known from infinite-dimensional quantum mechanics (see Sec. V A). Relations to Wigner functions of infinite-dimensional quantum systems are investigated in Sec. V B: the flat phase-space coordinates (p, q) known from classical mechanics are replaced with spherical phase-space coordinates $(R\cos\theta, \phi)$ for spins (see Section V B 1), and the resulting equation of motion given in Sec. V B 2 is formally equivalent to the one obtained in the main text [see Eq. (54)]. The star product for a single spin 1/2 given in the main text can also be interpreted as a quaternionic product (see Sec. V C).

2. Results for coupled spins

The Wigner representation is generalized in Sec. III B 2 to an arbitrary number of coupled spins J by extending the formula for the Wigner transformation of product operators. We consider Wigner functions for coupled spins of identical spin number J, but a generalization to systems that are composed of particles of different spin number J is straightforward. The Wigner functions for N spins are defined on a spherical phase space of N spheres and have $2N$ coordinates of the form $R\cos\theta_k$ and ϕ_k. This setup satisfies the Stratonovich postulates of Appendix C 2, which includes the covariance under arbitrary local rotations, and generalizes the covariance under simultaneous spin rotations in [48].

The Wigner formalism for coupled spins 1/2 is obtained by extending the differential star product to multiple spins (see Sec. III D), and this also establishes the equation of motion. These results are then applied in Sec. III E in order to derive the explicit form of the equation of motion for up to three spins 1/2. In Sec. III E 4, the equation of motion for an arbitrary number of spins is explicitly given in the case of natural Hamiltonians containing only linear and bilinear operators.

E. Structure of this work

Our work is structured as follows: We start in Sec. II with a set of introductory examples which establish and illustrate the main ideas of our Wigner formalism for spins. The theoretical methods are detailed in Sec. III where the Wigner transformation of coupled spin oper-

ators and their star product are developed; this section can be skipped in a first reading as the following two sections do essentially not depend on it. In Sec. IV, we apply our methods to advanced examples further exploring the Wigner formalism in the case of two and three coupled spins and also considering the creation of entanglement. We discuss connections to other important concepts in Sec. V which includes the quantum angular momentum, the infinite-dimensional Wigner formalism, quaternionic Wigner functions, and the evolution of non-hermitian states. We conclude in Sec. VI, and certain details are deferred to appendices.

II. INTRODUCTORY EXAMPLES

Our approach to directly determine the time evolution of a quantum system using Wigner functions is now illustrated with concrete examples, while the corresponding theory is detailed in Sec. III below. We start in Sec. II A with the case of a single spin 1/2 and juxtapose the well-known matrix method with our Wigner function approach. We also analyze the case of two coupled spins 1/2 (see Sec. II B) and consider in particular the time evolution under a scalar coupling.

More advanced examples are deferred to Sec. IV considering the evolution of two coupled spins 1/2 under the CNOT gate (see Sec. IV A), and the evolution of three coupled spins 1/2 (see Sec. IV B). Recall that in all these cases the von-Neumann equation (see Eq. 1) determines the time evolution of the density operator by specifying its time derivative.

A. Time evolution of a single spin

1. Evolution of the density operator

A simple example is presented which considers the precession of a single spin 1/2 in an external magnetic field. Here, explicit matrices are used, and these are decomposed into irreducible tensor operators. In Sec. II A 2, the time evolution is then computed directly in the Wigner representation. Recall the irreducible tensor operators [33]

$$\mathrm{T}_{00} := \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{1}_2 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\ \mathrm{T}_{1,-1} := I_- = \begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3a}$$

$$\mathrm{T}_{10} := \sqrt{2}I_z = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix},\ \mathrm{T}_{11} := -I_+ = \begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \tag{3b}$$

for the case of a single spin 1/2. For arbitrary spin number J, the definition of tensor operators ${}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ is based on their commutation relations

$$[{}^JI_z, {}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}] = m\,{}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm} \tag{4a}$$

$$[{}^JI_\pm, {}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}] = \sqrt{(j\mp m)\,(j\pm m+1)}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}_{j,m\pm1}, \tag{4b}$$



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The equation of motion in the Hilbert space given by the von-Neumann equation. (b) The equation of motion of a single spin 1/2 in Winger space (upper row) and its graphical representation (lower row) in the particular case of $\mathcal{H} = \omega I_z$ with $\omega = 1$ and $\rho = I_x$. (c) Graphical representation of the Poisson bracket from (b). The spherical functions corresponding to the partial derivatives of the Wigner function $W_\rho = R\sin\theta\cos\phi$ and the Hamiltonian $W_\mathcal{H} = R\cos\theta$ are shown and $1/(R\sin\theta)$ is visualized as an infinitely long cylinder [51].

as described by Racah [38], where ${}^JI_z$ and ${}^JI_\pm = {}^JI_x \pm i\,{}^JI_y$ are representations of arbitrary spin-J operators [49]; the index J is dropped in the spin-1/2 case.

In our example, a rotation around the z axis with an angular frequency ω is generated by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega I_z = \omega\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{T}_{10}, \tag{5}$$

and the quantum state of a single spin at time $t = 0$ is chosen as the density operator

$$\rho(0) = I_x = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{T}_{1,-1} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{T}_{11}. \tag{6}$$

The time evolution is described by the von-Neumann equation, see Eq. (1), and the first time derivative $i\partial\rho(0)/\partial t$ is determined by the commutator

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{H},\rho(0)] &= \tfrac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}}[\mathrm{T}_{10}\,,\mathrm{T}_{1,-1}] - \tfrac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2}}[\mathrm{T}_{10}\,,\mathrm{T}_{11}]\\ &= -\tfrac{\omega}{2}\mathrm{T}_{1,-1} - \tfrac{\omega}{2}\mathrm{T}_{11} = i\omega I_y,\end{aligned}$$

whose form can also be inferred from the definitions in Eq. (4). The solution of this differential equation results in [50]

$$\rho(t) = \tfrac{1}{2}e^{i\omega t}\mathrm{T}_{1,-1} - \tfrac{1}{2}e^{-i\omega t}\mathrm{T}_{11} = \cos(\omega t)I_x + \sin(\omega t)I_y.$$

2. Evolution of the Wigner functions

Mirroring the preceding discussion in terms of matrices, the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and the density matrix $\rho(0)$ from Eqs. (5)–(6) are mapped to their Wigner functions

$$W_\mathcal{H}(\theta,\phi) = \tfrac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{10} = \omega\,R\cos\theta, \tag{7}$$



FIG. 2. (Color online) Plots of the Wigner functions $W_{\mathcal{H}}$ of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \omega I_z$ (upper row), W_ρ of the density operator ρ (middle row), and the time derivative $\partial W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t)/\partial t$ (lower row) corresponding to $\partial\rho/\partial t$ at times $t = 0$, $\omega t = \pi/2$, and $\omega t = \pi$ [51].

$$W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t=0) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1} - \mathrm{Y}_{11}) = R\sin\theta\cos\phi \qquad (8)$$

by replacing the tensor operators T_{jm} by the corresponding spherical harmonics $\mathrm{Y}_{jm} = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta,\phi)$ [39]. This basic example conforms with the general discussion in Sec. III B [see Eq. (29)]; note that $R := \sqrt{3/(8\pi)}$.

Here, the Wigner function $W_A(\theta,\phi) = |W_A(\theta,\phi)| \exp[i\eta(\theta,\phi)]$ of a single spin is visualized in the following way: A surface is plotted whose surface element in the direction (θ,ϕ) is at a distance $|W_A(\theta,\phi)|$ from the origin. The complex phase factor $\exp[i\eta(\theta,\phi)]$ of the Wigner function is represented by the color of its surface element. This method visualizes spherical functions as three-dimensional shapes (see Figs. 1 and 2).

The time evolution is governed by the shape of the appearing Wigner functions via its angular derivatives. The von-Neumann equation for a single spin 1/2 translates in the Wigner representation to the equation (see Fig. 1)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t)}{\partial t} &= \{W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t)\,,\, W_{\mathcal{H}}(\theta,\phi)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{R\sin\theta}\left(\frac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial\phi}\frac{\partial W_{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial W_{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial\phi}\right). \qquad (9)\end{aligned}$$

The Poisson bracket $\{W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t), W_{\mathcal{H}}(\theta,\phi)\}$ is further detailed in Sec. III C. In our example, the time derivative at time $t = 0$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial W_\rho(\theta,\phi,0)}{\partial t} = \omega R^2\{\sin\theta\cos\phi, \cos\theta\} = \omega R\sin\theta\sin\phi. \qquad (10)$$

Refer also to Figure 1 for a graphical representation of this particular example. The solution of the differential equation in Eq. (10) is given by [52]

$$W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t) = R\sin\theta[\cos(\omega t)\cos\phi + \sin(\omega t)\sin\phi]. \qquad (11)$$

Figure 2 shows the Wigner function of the Hamiltonian and the density matrix evolving in time, including the time derivative of $W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t)$. All operators depicted in Figure 2 are hermitian and consequently only the colors red (dark gray) and green (light gray) appear, which correspond to positive and negative real values in their Wigner functions. Note how the shapes govern the rotation of $W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t)$ around the z axis. An example describing the time evolution of non-hermitian spin states is detailed in Section V D 1.

B. Time evolution of two coupled spins

1. *Evolution of the density matrix*

We consider now the time evolution for an example of two coupled spins 1/2. Similarly as in Sec. II A, we first rely on explicit matrices, and our approach using Wigner functions is detailed in Sec. II B 2 below. In order to simplify and highlight the transformation to the Wigner space, we will subsequently differentiate between tensor operators acting on different spins: The linear operators $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{T}_{jm} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{00}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{T}_{00} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{jm}$ act respectively on the first and second spin, and they are constructed using a tensor product leading to four-by-four matrices. A bilinear operator $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2}$ acts on both spins and consists of a matrix product of single-spin operators. Details on definitions and properties of product operators are deferred to Sec. III A (see Table IV) and a short summary is given in Appendix A.

Let us now consider a system of two coupled spins which evolve under the bilinear Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi\nu 2 I_{1z} I_{2z} = \pi\nu 2 \mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10}, \qquad (12)$$

which can arise from a heteronuclear scalar or dipolar coupling. Here, we have applied the notations $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10} := \mathrm{T}_{10} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{00} = (\mathrm{T}_{10}/\sqrt{2}) \otimes (\sqrt{2}\mathrm{T}_{00}) = I_z \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 = I_{1z}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10} := \mathrm{T}_{00} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{10} = I_{2z}$ (see Sec. III A). In addition, we specify the density matrix at time $t = 0$ as

$$\rho(0) = I_{1x} = (\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,-1} - \mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{11})/\sqrt{2}. \qquad (13)$$

TABLE III. Wigner representations of the identity as well as linear and bilinear Cartesian operators; $\lambda = R/\sqrt{2\pi}^{N-1}$ with $R = \sqrt{3/(8\pi)}$ and $a, b \in \{x, y, z\}$. The projection $I_{k\beta}$ onto the pure state $|\beta\rangle$ of a single spin is discussed in Sec. IV A 1.

A	$\mathcal{W}(A)$	A	$\mathcal{W}(A)$
$\mathbb{1}_{2^N}$	$1/\sqrt{2\pi}^N$	$I_{k\beta}$	$1/(2\sqrt{2\pi}^N) - \lambda\cos\theta_k$
I_{kx}	$\lambda\sin\theta_k\cos\phi_k$	$I_{kx}I_{\ell x}$	$\sqrt{2\pi}^N\lambda^2\sin\theta_k\cos\phi_k\sin\theta_\ell\cos\phi_\ell$
I_{ky}	$\lambda\sin\theta_k\sin\phi_k$	$I_{kx}I_{\ell z}$	$\sqrt{2\pi}^N\lambda^2\sin\theta_k\cos\phi_k\cos\theta_\ell$
I_{kz}	$\lambda\cos\theta_k$	$I_{ka}I_{\ell b}$	$\sqrt{2\pi}^N\mathcal{W}(I_{ka})\mathcal{W}(I_{\ell b})$

Equation (1) determines the time differential $i\partial\rho(0)/\partial t$ as the commutator

$$[\mathcal{H},\rho(0)] = -\sqrt{2}\pi\nu\,(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,-1}+\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{11})\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10} = i\pi\nu 2I_{1y}I_{2z}.$$

One deduces that only the four tensor operators $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{11}$, $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,-1}$, $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{11}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10}$, and $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,-1}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10}$ can appear in the decomposition of $\rho(t)$, as $\partial^2\rho(0)/\partial t^2 \propto \rho(t)$ [53]. Consequently, the time-dependent density operator can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho(t) = a(t)A + b(t)B, \text{ where} \qquad (14)\\ &A = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,-1}-\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{11}) = I_{1x},\\ &B = \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,-1}+\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{11})\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10} = 2I_{1y}I_{2z},\end{aligned}$$

and $a(0) = 1$ and $b(0) = 0$. Substituting this back into Eq. (1), one obtains the solution [54]

$$\rho(t) = \cos(\pi\nu t)I_{1x} + \sin(\pi\nu t)2I_{1y}I_{2z}. \qquad (15)$$

The detectable NMR signal is proportional to $\cos(\pi\nu t)$, and one obtains a doublet spectrum with equal intensities and lines separated by ν.

2. *Evolution of Wigner functions*

We switch now to the Wigner picture and explain shortly how product operators in a two-spin system are represented as Wigner functions, while details will be given in Sec. III B below. Moreover, we translate the von-Neumann equation for two spins into the Wigner picture. This is then applied to the example of Sec. II B 1.

Wigner representations of operators acting on different spins are distinguished by different variables, thus an operator acting on the first spin is transformed to its Wigner function by mapping the basis states


$\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{1,0}$ · $\mathrm{Y}^{\{2\}}_{1,0}$ = $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,0}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{1,0})/2\pi$
$\mathrm{Y}_{1,0}(\theta_1)$ · $\mathrm{Y}_{1,0}(\theta_2)$ = $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}_{1,0}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{1,0})$

FIG. 3. (Color online) The tensor product of the normalized 2×2 matrix T_{10} with itself results in a normalized 4×4 matrix $\mathrm{T}_{10}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{10}$ whose Wigner representation $\mathrm{Y}_{10}(\theta_1)\mathrm{Y}_{10}(\theta_2)$ with $\mathrm{Y}_{10}(\theta_j) = \sqrt{2}R\cos\theta_j$ is also normalized (lower row). While the 4×4 matrix $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1,0}$ is normalized, the matrix product $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{10} = \mathrm{T}_{10}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{10}/2$ is not. Similarly, $\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{10} = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}R\cos\theta_1$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{\{2\}}_{10}$ are normalized but their pointwise product is not (middle and upper row). Note that both the middle and the upper row is by a factor of 4π larger than the lower row. In summary, the norm changes in general when spherical functions are multiplied [51].


(a)
$\frac{\partial W_{I_{1x}}}{\partial t}$ = $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$
= { , } $\mathcal{P}$[·]
+ $\mathcal{P}$[·] { , }
~ $\{W_{I_{1x}}, W_{I_{1z}}\}$ $\mathcal{P}[W_{\mathbb{1}}\cdot W_{I_{2z}}]$
+ $\mathcal{P}[W_{I_{1x}}\cdot W_{I_{1z}}]$ $\{W_{\mathbb{1}}, W_{I_{2z}}\}$
(b)
$\mathcal{P}$[·] = $\mathcal{P}$[+] =

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Graphical representation of the equation of motion for two spins 1/2 for the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \pi\nu 2I_{1z}I_{2z}$ and the density operator $\rho = I_{1x}$, see Eqs. (16)-(17). (b) Graphical representation of the projection onto rank-one and rank-zero spherical harmonics for the example of $\mathcal{P}(\cos^2\theta_2) = 1/3$ which is discussed around Eq. (21) [51].

$\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{jm}$ to their corresponding spherical harmonics $\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_1,\phi_1)/\sqrt{4\pi}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{jm}$ is mapped onto $\mathrm{Y}^{\{2\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_2,\phi_2)/\sqrt{4\pi}$. Product operators are constructed as simple pointwise products of their Wigner functions and $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2}$ is mapped to the product $2\pi\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{Y}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2} = \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}_{j_2,m_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)/2$. Important examples are summarized in Table III. Suitable prefactors are introduced to ensure consistent normalizations for matrix representations and Wigner functions (see Sec. III B), and the different normalization factors are also illustrated in Figure 3.

The time evolution of the density matrix via the von-Neumann equation translates for Wigner functions of two spins to the equation [see Sec. III E 1, and Eq. (62)]

$$\partial W_\rho/\partial t = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}}(\{W_\rho, W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{1\}}+\{W_\rho, W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{2\}}). \qquad (16)$$

Here, the Poisson brackets from Eq. (9) gain an additional index in order to identify their spin dependence, i.e., the Poisson bracket $\{f_a, f_b\}^{\{1\}}$ contains derivatives with respect to the variables θ_1 and ϕ_1, while $\{f_a, f_b\}^{\{2\}}$ is defined with reference to θ_2 and ϕ_2. As spherical harmonics with rank two or higher are not allowed for spins 1/2, the projector $\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}}$ removes these superfluous contributions, but leaves spherical harmonics Y_{jm} with rank j equal to zero or one unchanged. The Pois-



FIG. 5. (Color online) Time evolution of the initial density operator I_{1x} under the bilinear coupling Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \pi\nu 2 I_{1z} I_{2z}$. PROPS representation of the Wigner functions of the Hamiltonian (upper row), the density operator (middle row), and the time derivative of the density operator (lower row). Note that $\rho(0) = I_{1x}$, $\rho[1/(4\nu)] = (I_{1x}+2I_{1y}I_{2z})/\sqrt{2}$, and $\rho[1/(2\nu)] = 2I_{1y}I_{2z}$ [51].

son brackets in Eq. (16) can be simplified for product operators $W_\rho = W_{\rho_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)W_{\rho_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)$ and $W_{\mathcal{H}} = W_{\mathcal{H}_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)W_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)$ into the form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial W_\rho/\partial t =& \sqrt{2\pi}\,\{W_{\rho_1}, W_{\mathcal{H}_1}\}^{\{1\}}\mathcal{P}^{\{2\}}(W_{\rho_2}W_{\mathcal{H}_2}) \\ &+\sqrt{2\pi}\,\{W_{\rho_2}, W_{\mathcal{H}_2}\}^{\{2\}}\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}(W_{\rho_1}W_{\mathcal{H}_1});\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

refer to Figure 4(a) for a visualization of this computation. In the PROPS representation, product operators are indicated as overlapping circles (refer also to Appendix B) and the overall Wigner function of a tensor product $W_{1,2} = W_1 W_2$ is given as a product of its parts. The corresponding Wigner functions W_1 is drawn in the left circle and the Wigner functions W_2 in the right one.

Using aforementioned techniques, the Hamiltonian and the density operator from Eqs. (12)-(13) can be transformed into their respective Wigner function

$$W_{\mathcal{H}} = 4\pi^2\nu\, \mathrm{Y}_{10}^{\{1\}}\mathrm{Y}_{10}^{\{2\}} = \pi\nu 2R^2\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2, \tag{18}$$

$$W_\rho(0) = (\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}^{\{1\}} - \mathrm{Y}_{1,1}^{\{1\}})/\sqrt{2} = R\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1/\sqrt{2\pi}. \tag{19}$$

The time derivative of the initial Wigner function $W_\rho(0)$ is now given by Eq. (16), it depends only on the variables θ_1, ϕ_1, consequently, the second Poisson bracket $\{W_\rho(0), W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{2\}}$ is zero. One applies Eq. (9) and obtains up to projections

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial W_\rho(0)/\partial t = \sqrt{2\pi}\{W_\rho(0), W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{1\}} \\ &= \pi\nu 2R^3\{\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1, \cos\theta_1\}^{\{1\}}\cos\theta_2 \\ &= \pi\nu 2R^3[\sin\theta_1\frac{\partial\cos\phi_1}{\partial\phi_1}\frac{\partial\cos\theta_1}{\partial\theta_1}]\cos\theta_2/(R\sin\theta_1) \\ &= \pi\nu 2R^2\cos\theta_2\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1 = \pi\nu\mathcal{W}(2I_{1y}I_{2z}).\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here, $\mathcal{W}(2I_{1y}I_{2z})$ denotes the Wigner transformation of $2I_{1y}I_{2z}$, refer to Table III. For the graphical representation of this computation refer to Figure 4(a). One deduces that the time derivative of $\mathcal{W}(2I_{1y}I_{2z})$ is up to projections proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2 W_\rho(0)/\partial t^2 &\propto \partial(\cos\theta_2\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1)/\partial t \\ &\propto \{\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1, \cos\theta_1\}^{\{1\}}\cos\theta_2\cos\theta_2 \\ &+ \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1\{\cos\theta_2, \cos\theta_2\}^{\{2\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\cos\theta_2, \cos\theta_2\}^{\{2\}} = 0$ and $\{\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1, \cos\theta_1\}^{\{1\}} = -\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1\sin\theta_1/(R\sin\theta_1)$, we obtain up to projections that

$$\partial\mathcal{W}(2I_{1y}I_{2z})/\partial t = -2\sqrt{3}R^2\pi\nu\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1\cos^2\theta_2.$$

It is however important to understand that the term

$$\cos^2\theta_2 = \sqrt{4\pi}/3\mathrm{Y}_{00}(\theta_2,\phi_2) + \sqrt{\pi/3}\,\mathrm{Y}_{20}(\theta_2,\phi_2) \tag{21}$$

linearly decomposes into spherical harmonics of rank zero and two, as shown in Figure 4(b). After applying the projector $\mathcal{P}^{\{2\}}$ from Eq. (17), only a term proportional to $\mathrm{Y}_{00} = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$ remains [55]; and this leads to

$$\partial\mathcal{W}(2I_{1y}I_{2z})/\partial t = -\tfrac{2R^2\pi\nu}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1 = \mathcal{W}(-\pi\nu I_{1x}).$$

It is now apparent, that the second time derivative of $W_\rho(0)$ is proportional to $W_\rho(0)$, i.e., $\partial^2 W_\rho(0)/\partial t^2 = -(\pi\nu)^2 W_\rho(0)$ and that the time evolution of W_ρ is parametrized by only two Wigner functions

$$\begin{aligned}W_A &:= \mathcal{W}(I_{1x}) = R\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1/\sqrt{2\pi}, \\ W_B &:= \mathcal{W}(2I_{1y}I_{2z}) = 2R^2\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1\cos\theta_2.\end{aligned}$$

Note the similarity with Eq. (14). The solution for the Wigner function is therefore given by [56]

$$\begin{aligned}W_\rho(t) = &\, R\,c(t)\sin\theta_1\cos\phi_1/\sqrt{2\pi} \\ &+ 2R^2 s(t)\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1\cos\theta_2,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $c(t) \coloneqq \cos(\pi\nu t)$ and $s(t) \coloneqq \sin(\pi\nu t)$. Figure 5 illustrates the time evolution of Wigner functions for the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \pi\nu 2I_{1z}I_{2z}$ (upper row), the density operator $\rho(t) = c(t)I_{1x} + s(t)2I_{1y}I_{2z}$ (middle row), and the time derivative of the density operator $\partial\rho/\partial t = -s(t)I_{1x} + c(t)2I_{1y}I_{2z}$ (lower row) at different times $t = 0$, $\pi\nu t = \pi/4$, and $\pi\nu t = \pi/2$. Arbitrary operators are visualized in the PROPS representation by decomposing them into sums of product operators (refer also to Appendix B). An alternative representation of the Wigner function in Eq. (22) based on the decomposition into nonhermitian operators is given in Sec. V D 2.

III. THEORY

The central parts of the Wigner formalism for coupled spins are now systematically developed. First, we recall basic properties of product operators and the tensor product of matrices (see Sec. III A); the main properties are summarized in Table IV. We detail the Wigner transformation of spin operators for single- and coupled-spin systems in Sec. III B. This then leads in Sec. III C to a simplified approach to compute the star product of single-spin-1/2 operators and allows us to derive the corresponding equation of motion. In Sec. III D, the star product is then extended to coupled spin-1/2 operators and the corresponding equation of motion is determined. Finally, we provide an optimized form of our formalism for two and three coupled spins 1/2 as well as natural Hamiltonians for multiple spins 1/2 (see Sec. III E).

A. Product-operator and tensor-product notation

We recapitulate elementary definitions and properties of tensor operators acting on an N-spin system consisting of spin-J particles. For single spins, the tensor components ${}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ are indexed with rank $j \in \{0,\dots,2J\}$ and order $m \in \{-j,\dots,j\}$; the index J can be dropped if $J = 1/2$. Recall the defining relations of tensor operators in Eq. (4). The single-spin operator ${}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ is normalized and can be embedded as the product operator

$$ {}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{jm} \coloneqq {}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00} \quad (23)$$

acting on the kth spin of an N-spin system (recall that $\mathbb{1}_{2J+1} = \sqrt{2J+1}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}$). More generally, the embedded form of a single-spin operator A acting on the kth spin is denoted by $A^{\{k\}} \coloneqq {}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes A\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{00}$. We consider products of single-spin tensor operators, and certain cases are summarized in Table IV as normalized product operators A with $\mathrm{tr}(A^\dagger A) = 1$, Table IV also shows the complementary tensor-product notation, and we will switch between product operators and the tensor-product notation. Elementary properties of product operators can usually directly be inferred from properties of tensor products of operators A_k and B_k with $k \in \{1,\dots,N\}$:

$$ (A_1\cdots A_N)\otimes(B_1\cdots B_N) = (A_1\otimes B_1)\cdots(A_N\otimes B_N), \quad (24a)$$

$$ (A_1\otimes\cdots\otimes A_N)^\dagger = A_1^\dagger\otimes\cdots\otimes A_N^\dagger, \quad (24b)$$

$$ \mathrm{tr}(A_1\otimes\cdots\otimes A_N) = \mathrm{tr}(A_1)\cdots\mathrm{tr}(A_N). \quad (24c)$$

Moreover, we gather the following properties of embedded single-spin product operators:

Lemma 1. *Embedded single-spin product operators have the following properties:*

(a) $\mathrm{tr}[({}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k_1\}}_{j_1m_1})^\dagger {}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k_2\}}_{j_2m_2}] = \delta_{k_1k_2}\delta_{j_1j_2}\delta_{m_1m_2}$,

(b) $[{}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k_1\}}_{j_1m_1}, {}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k_2\}}_{j_2m_2}] = \frac{\delta_{k_1k_2}}{\sqrt{2J+1}^{N-1}}([{}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}, {}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}])^{\{k_1\}}$,

(c) ${}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_1m_1}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_2m_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2J+1}^{N-1}}({}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2})^{\{k\}}$.

These properties imply that normalized products of single-spin tensor operators give rise to a basis of the full operator space of N spins (cf. Table IV):

Lemma 2. *The normalized product operators*

$$ \sqrt{2J+1}^{N(N-1)}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\cdots{}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N} = {}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_Nm_N}$$

form an orthonormal basis of the full N-spin system, and an arbitrary spin operator A can be decomposed as

$$ A = \sum_{j_1m_1\dots j_Nm_N} a_{j_1m_1\dots j_Nm_N}\sqrt{2J+1}^{N(N-1)}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\cdots{}^J\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N}.$$

Given an M-linear operator acting on $M \le N$ spins, all indices j_k in the decomposition of A given in Lemma 2 are greater equal to zero for $k \in \{1,\dots,N\}$. Yet, certain indices j_k have to be zero if $M < N$. Appendix A provides a non-technical tutorial on elementary properties of product operators.

B. The Wigner formalism for spins

We describe the Wigner representation of spin operators which are mapped by the Wigner transformation to spherical functions. This mapping fulfills the so-called Stratonovich postulates (and generalizations thereof) which are discussed in Appendix C for single spins as well as multiple coupled spins.

1. Wigner representation of single spins

The Wigner representation of an arbitrary operator A acting on a single spin J is defined as [25]

$$ \mathcal{W}(A) \coloneqq W_A(\theta,\phi) = \mathrm{tr}[\Delta_J(\theta,\phi)A], \quad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{W}(A)$ denotes the Wigner transform of A. In Eq. (25), we have used the kernel $\Delta_J(\theta,\phi)$ for a single

TABLE IV. Normalized product operators and tensor products for basis operators embedded into an N-spin system; see also Appendix A. The names in the first column refer to the case when all indices $j_k > 0$; but the prefactors are correct even if some j_k are zero.

Type	Product-operator notation	Tensor-product notation
Linear	${}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{jm}$	$\underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00}}_{\#1} \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00} \otimes \underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}}_{\#k} \otimes {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00}}_{\#N}$
Bilinear	$\sqrt{2J{+}1}^{N}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_k m_k}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{\ell\}}_{j_\ell m_\ell}$	$\underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00}}_{\#1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_k m_k}}_{\#k} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_\ell m_\ell}}_{\#\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00}}_{\#N}$
M-linear	$\sqrt{2J{+}1}^{N(M-1)} \prod_{k\in K,\lvert K\rvert=M} {}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_k m_k}$	$\bigotimes_{k\in\{1,\ldots,N\}} A_k$ where $A_k \coloneqq \begin{cases} {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_k m_k} & \text{if } k \in K, \\ {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{00} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
N-linear	$\sqrt{2J{+}1}^{N(N-1)}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \cdots {}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}$	${}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_1 m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_N m_N}$

spin J which maps spin operators onto spherical functions, and it is given by [57]

$$\Delta_J(\theta,\phi) \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{2J} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mathrm{Y}^{*}_{jm}(\theta,\phi)\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm} = \Delta^{\dagger}_J(\theta,\phi). \quad (26)$$

The form of the kernel builds on the work of [25, 26, 31, 58], see, in particular, Eqs. (4.16)-(4.17) in [26], Eq. 2.14 in [25], and Eq. (9) in [27]. Here, the tensor operators ${}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ for a given spin number J form an orthonormal set of basis operators for $(2J{+}1)\times(2J{+}1)$ matrices, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{tr}({}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\dagger}_{j_1 m_1}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_2 m_2}) = \delta_{j_1 j_2}\delta_{m_1 m_2}; \quad (27)$$

likewise the spherical harmonics $\mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta,\phi)$ [39] are orthonormal with respect to the scalar product

$$\int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{Y}^{*}_{j_1 m_1}(\theta,\phi)\mathrm{Y}_{j_2 m_2}(\theta,\phi)\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi = \delta_{j_1 j_2}\delta_{m_1 m_2}. \quad (28)$$

Equations (25) and (27) imply that the Wigner representation of a tensor operator ${}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ is equal to the spherical harmonic $\mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta,\phi)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}({}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}) = W_{{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}}(\theta,\phi) = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta,\phi). \quad (29)$$

Tensor operators can be reconstructed from their Wigner representation by applying the inverse Wigner transformation (usually referred to as the Weyl transformation) which is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}^{-1}[F(\theta,\phi)] \coloneqq \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \Delta_J(\theta,\phi)F(\theta,\phi)\,\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi. \quad (30)$$

By substituting $F = W_A$ in Eq. (30), one obtains that $A = \mathcal{W}^{-1}[W_A(\theta,\phi)]$. The orthonormality relation of Eq. (28) implies that the inverse Wigner transformation of the spherical harmonics $\mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta,\phi)$ are given by the tensor operators ${}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}$.

2. *Wigner representation of coupled spins*

We now generalize the definition of the kernel for a single spin [see Eq. (26)] to multiple spins J, but for simplicity with identical J for each spin, while a generalization to systems that are composed of particles of different spin number J is straightforward. For N spins, the kernel is defined as the N-fold tensor product

$$\Delta^{\{1\ldots N\}}_J \coloneqq \Delta^{\{1\ldots N\}}_J(\theta_1,\phi_1,\ldots,\theta_N,\phi_N) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{N} \Delta_J(\theta_k,\phi_k)$$

of the individual kernels and involves a set of $2N$ variables $(\theta_1,\phi_1,\ldots,\theta_N,\phi_N)$ describing points on N spheres; a similar result has been attained in Eq. (9) of [29]. Using the definition of the kernel $\Delta_J(\theta,\phi)$ from Eq. (26) and applying the correspondence of Table IV, one obtains the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{\{1\ldots N\}}_J &= \sum_{\substack{j_1\ldots j_N \\ m_1\ldots m_N}} [\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_1 m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_N m_N}\,]\,\mathcal{Y} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_1\ldots j_N \\ m_1\ldots m_N}} [\,\sqrt{2J{+}1}^{N(N-1)}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \cdots {}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}\,]\,\mathcal{Y}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\mathcal{Y} \coloneqq \prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathrm{Y}^{*}_{j_k m_k}(\theta_k,\phi_k)$. Consequently, this defines the Wigner transformation as

$$\mathcal{W}(A) \coloneqq W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1,\ldots,\theta_N,\phi_N) = \mathrm{tr}(\Delta^{\{1\ldots N\}}_J A), \quad (32)$$

and it satisfies the generalized Stratonovich postulates described in Appendix C 2. We now apply the orthonormality properties of embedded tensor operators [see Lemma 1(a)] and verify that the Wigner representation for the linear embedded tensor operator ${}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{jm}$ is proportional to the spherical harmonic $\mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_k,\phi_k)$, which depends on the angular variables θ_k and ϕ_k. More precisely, we obtain the relation

$$\mathcal{W}({}^{J}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{jm}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{jm} \coloneqq \underbrace{\mathrm{Y}_{00}}_{\#1} \cdots \underbrace{\mathrm{Y}_{00}}_{\#(k-1)} \underbrace{\mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_k,\phi_k)}_{\#k} \underbrace{\mathrm{Y}_{00}}_{\#(k+1)} \cdots \underbrace{\mathrm{Y}_{00}}_{\#N}$$

$$= \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_k,\phi_k)/\sqrt{4\pi}^{N-1}. \tag{33}$$

Similarly as for the Wigner transformation, the inverse Wigner transformation of a spherical function $F = F(\theta_1,\phi_1,\ldots,\theta_N,\phi_N)$ is generalized to multiple spins as

$$\mathcal{W}^{-1}(F) := \int_{\theta_k,\phi_k\geq 0}^{\theta_k\leq\pi,\phi_k\leq 2\pi}\cdots\int \Delta_J^{\{1\ldots N\}} F \prod_{k=1}^{N} \sin\theta_k \,\mathrm{d}\phi_k \,\mathrm{d}\theta_k. \tag{34}$$

Setting $F = W_A$ in Eq. (34) also verifies that $A = \mathcal{W}^{-1}[W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1\ldots\theta_N,\phi_N)]$ holds. In particular, the inverse Wigner transformation maps the spherical harmonic $\mathrm{Y}_{jm}^{\{k\}}$ with variables (θ_k,ϕ_k) to the linear tensor operators ${}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}^{\{k\}}$ acting on the kth spin. Finally, our approach establishes that the Wigner representation of products of embedded tensor operators can be written as products of the corresponding spherical harmonics involving different variables, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{W}(\sqrt{2J+1}^{N(N-1)}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}^{\{1\}}\cdots{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_Nm_N}^{\{N\}})\\ &= \mathcal{W}({}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_Nm_N})\\ &= \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\cdots\mathrm{Y}_{j_Nm_N}(\theta_N,\phi_N).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

3. Star product, star commutator, and Moyal equation

In the following, we wish to compute the Wigner representation W_{AB} of the product AB of two operators A and B from their respective Wigner representations W_A and W_B. This is accomplished by recalling the defining relation

$$W_{AB} = W_A \star W_B \tag{36}$$

of the star product $\star$ of two Wigner functions. The star product mimics the matrix product of two operators. Note that the product AB is restricted to the subspace of tensor operators with rank at most $2J$, just as for the operators A and B.

The time evolution of the density operator ρ is governed by the von-Neumann equation $i\partial\rho/\partial t = [\mathcal{H},\rho] = \mathcal{H}\rho - \rho\mathcal{H}$, see Eq. (1). This can be mapped to the Wigner representation by applying Eq. (25) and exploiting that the symbols $W_{\rho\mathcal{H}}$ and $W_{\mathcal{H}\rho}$ can according to Eq. (36) be restated in terms of star products. Hence, the equation of motion in the Wigner representation is given as

$$i\frac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial t} = [W_\mathcal{H}, W_\rho]_\star := W_\mathcal{H}\star W_\rho - W_\rho \star W_\mathcal{H}. \tag{37}$$

This defines the star commutator $[\cdot,\cdot]_\star$, which constitutes an analogue of the matrix commutator.

C. Star product for a single spin 1/2

Wigner representations and their defining star products are well studied in the case of infinite-dimensional quantum-mechanical operators [15, 16]. Much less is known for finite-dimensional spin degrees of freedom. Similarly as in the infinite-dimensional case, the star product from Eq. (36) can be computed using an integral or differential form.

The integral form is an integral transformation of the Wigner functions $W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1)$ and $W_B(\theta_2,\phi_2)$ which is weighted with a so-called trikernel. We provide an explicit expression for the integral form in Eq. (F2) of Appendix F (along the lines of [25]) by evaluating the exact star product and by applying expansion formulas from Sec. III C 1 below. The result provides a formal definition of the star product, but is less useful in applications.

In contrast, the differential star product is more convenient for explicit calculations since only partial derivatives and the pointwise product of W_A and W_B are required, which is afterwards followed by a projection.

For an arbitrary spin number J, Klimov and Espinoza [27] state the differential star product for two so-called Beresin P symbols P_A and P_B as a sum of the pointwise product of two functions combined with partial derivatives. The order and number of the partial derivatives grow rapidly with increasing J, and a truncation of the resulting P symbol is required. Klimov and Espinoza [27] also provide formulas to compute the star product of Wigner functions. Their method is virtually equivalent to transforming the Wigner functions into P symbols, then after computing the star product of P symbols, the P symbols are transformed back. In summary, the star product of two spin-1/2 Wigner functions W_A and W_B can be computed by first decomposing W_A and W_B into spherical harmonics and by reweighting the expansion coefficients one obtains $\tilde{W}_A$ and $\tilde{W}_B$, where $\tilde{W}_A$ and $\tilde{W}_B$ are proportional to the P symbols P_A and P_B. The differential star product of the P symbols is then applied to $\tilde{W}_A$ and $\tilde{W}_B$, and one obtains the four summands $a\tilde{W}_A\tilde{W}_B$, $b\{\tilde{W}_A,\tilde{W}_B\}$, $c\,(\partial\tilde{W}_A/\partial\theta)\,(\partial\tilde{W}_B/\partial\theta)$, and $d\,(\partial\tilde{W}_A/\partial\phi)\,(\partial\tilde{W}_B/\partial\phi)/\sin^2\theta$, where a, b, c, and d denote suitable prefactors. The resulting function $\tilde{W}_{AB}$ is transformed back by reweighting the terms in its decomposition into spherical harmonics. Finally, a result W_{AB} is obtained that satisfies the defining property $W_{AB} = W_A \star W_B$.

We consider only the case of $J = 1/2$ and provide a simplified approach, which nevertheless leads to the same star product and the same equation of motion that is given by the Poisson bracket (see [34] and [25]). The resulting differential star product $W_A \star W_B$ [see Eq. (48) below] is simply a sum of the pointwise product W_AW_B and the Poisson bracket $\{W_A,W_B\}$ of the two Wigner functions. In Sec. III C 1 we provide formulas necessary to evaluate the star product, and Sec. III C 2 contains the details on how the star product is calculated. This simplified approach to calculate the star product is then extended in Sec. III D to multiple spins.

1. Matrix products, pointwise products, and Poisson brackets

We detail how to expand products of tensor operators (resp. spherical harmonics) into a linear combination of tensor operators (resp. spherical harmonics). A similar expansion is described for the Poisson bracket of spherical harmonics. The product of two irreducible tensor operators can be expanded as [27, 59]

$$ {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2} = \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{n} {}^{J}Q_{j_1j_2L}\, C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}\, {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{LM}. \quad (38) $$

Here, the upper bound of the summation does not need to exceed $2J$ and is given by $n := \min(j_1{+}j_2, 2J)$ as ${}^{J}Q_{j_1j_2L} = 0$ for $L > 2J$; note $M = m_1{+}m_2$ [60]. Also, $C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [61], and the coefficients

$$ {}^{J}Q_{j_1j_2L} := (-1)^{2J+L}\sqrt{(2j_1{+}1)(2j_2{+}1)}\begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & L \\ J & J & J \end{Bmatrix} \quad (39) $$

are proportional to Wigner 6-j symbols [61] and depend only on j_1, j_2, and L, but are independent of m_1, m_2, and M. This also conforms with the fact that only tensor operators of rank zero and one are allowed for the case of a spin 1/2 in the product AB (see Sec. III B 3).

In order to determine the Poisson bracket of two spherical functions, we first recall its definition

$$ \{W_F, W_G\}^{\{i\}} := W_F\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\phi_i}\frac{1}{R\sin\theta_i}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\theta_i} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\theta_i}\frac{1}{R\sin\theta_i}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\phi_i}\right)W_G, \quad (40) $$

where the arrows $\leftarrow$ and $\rightarrow$ indicate whether the derivatives act to the left or the right, respectively. Moreover, the normalization factor is set to $R = \sqrt{3/(8\pi)}$. Based on [62], the Poisson bracket of two spherical harmonics can be expanded as

$$ \{\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}, \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}\} = \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} U_{j_1j_2L}\, C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}\mathrm{Y}_{LM}. \quad (41) $$

The product of two spherical harmonics decomposes into a linear combination as (see Sec. 12.9 of [63])

$$ \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2} = \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} Z_{j_1j_2L}\, C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}\mathrm{Y}_{LM}. \quad (42) $$

Here, the coefficients $Z_{j_1j_2L}$ and $U_{j_1j_2L}$ depend only on j_1, j_2, and L and are given by

$$ Z_{j_1j_2L} := \sqrt{\tfrac{(2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)}{4\pi(2L+1)}}C^{L0}_{j_10j_20}, \quad (43) $$

$$ U_{j_1j_2L} := -\tfrac{i}{2R}[1-(-1)^{L-j_1-j_2}]\sqrt{j_1(j_1{+}1)L(L{+}1)} \times\sqrt{\tfrac{(2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)}{4\pi(2L+1)}}C^{L1}_{j_1\,1\,j_2\,0}. \quad (44) $$

Although the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ will not be completely analogous to the star commutator $[\cdot,\cdot]_\star$ for arbitrary J, there is a strong relation between these two operations. Recalling that the star commutator in the Wigner representation corresponds to the commutator of matrix representations (see Sec. III B 3), we can in analogy compare the Poisson bracket from Eq. (41) with the usual commutator of tensor operators. Using Eq. (38), the commutator of tensor operators can be brought into a similar form ($M = m_1 + m_2$)

$$ [{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}, {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}] = \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{n} {}^{J}Q'_{j_1j_2L}\, C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}\, {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{LM} \quad (45) $$

by applying ${}^{J}Q'_{j_1j_2L} = [1-(-1)^{j_1+j_2-L}]{}^{J}Q_{j_1j_2L}$ and the symmetry properties $C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2} = (-1)^{j_1+j_2-L}C^{LM}_{j_2m_2j_1m_1}$ of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. We compare Eq. (41) with Eq. (45) and note that the coefficients $Q'^{(J)}_{j_1j_2L}$ and $U_{j_1j_2L}$ will in general differ. However, their nonzero values within the range $j_1, j_2, L \le 2J$ appear at coinciding values of j_1, j_2, and L, highlighting the close relation of the Poisson bracket and the star commutator. Finally, we provide a particular case where this equivalence is strict up to a prefactor:

$$ \{\mathrm{Y}_{10}, \mathrm{Y}_{jm}\} = i\sqrt{2}\,m\,\mathrm{Y}_{jm}, \quad (46a) $$

$$ \{\mathrm{Y}_{1,\pm1}, \mathrm{Y}_{jm}\} = \mp i\sqrt{(j\mp m)(j\pm m+1)}\,\mathrm{Y}_{j,m\pm1}, \quad (46b) $$

$$ [{}^{J}I_z, {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}] = m\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}, \quad (46c) $$

$$ [{}^{J}I_\pm, {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{jm}] = \sqrt{(j\mp m)(j\pm m+1)}\,{}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j,m\pm1}. \quad (46d) $$

Equations (46c) and (46d) show how the basic definition of spherical tensor operators relies on commutators, c.f. Eqs. (4a)-(4b). By comparing them to equations (46a) and (46b) it is clear that the defining relation is also satisfied by the Poisson bracket of spherical harmonics up to the prefactor i (and the prefactor implied by $\mp N_J\mathcal{W}({}^{J}I_\pm) = \mathrm{Y}_{1,\pm1}$ and $N_J\sqrt{2}\mathcal{W}({}^{J}I_z) = \mathrm{Y}_{1,0}$ [64]). The particular cases of Eq. (46) are also considered in Equation (5.13) of [25].

2. Evaluation of the star product

In this section, we detail the explicit form of the differential star product for a single spin 1/2 while ensuring that its form conforms with Sec. III B 3. We build on the work in [25, 27] and provide a simplified approach. The differential star product is given as the sum of the pointwise product and the Poisson bracket of two spherical functions, followed by the projection onto rank-one and rank-zero spherical harmonics, i.e. by truncating spherical harmonics with rank greater than one. Two distinct symbols are used: the exact star product $\star$ is obtained from the prestar product $\star$ after truncating certain spherical harmonics.

Definition 1. *Given the Wigner functions $W_F(\theta,\phi)$ and $W_G(\theta,\phi)$ of the operators F and G acting on a single spin 1/2, the prestar product (i.e., the product that results in*

TABLE V. Prestar product $\star$ (i.e., the product that results in the star product after truncation) of spherical harmonics for a single spin 1/2. The corresponding star product $\star$ is obtained by discarding the underlined components Y_{jm} with $j > 1$.

$\downarrow \star \rightarrow$	Y_{00}	$\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$	Y_{10}	Y_{11}
Y_{00}	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{00}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{10}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{11}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$	$0+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\mathrm{Y}_{2,-2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\mathrm{Y}_{2,-1}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{00}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{10}+\underline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\mathrm{Y}_{20}}$
Y_{10}	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{10}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\mathrm{Y}_{2,-1}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{00}+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\mathrm{Y}_{20}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{11}+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\mathrm{Y}_{21}}$
Y_{11}	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{11}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{00}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{10}+\underline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\mathrm{Y}_{20}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{11}+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\mathrm{Y}_{21}}$	$0+\underline{\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\mathrm{Y}_{22}}$

the star product after truncation) is defined as

$$W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi) \coloneqq \sqrt{2\pi} W_F W_G - \tfrac{i}{2}\{W_F, W_G\} \quad (47)$$

using the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ *from Eq.* (40)*. Note that the factor* $\sqrt{2\pi} = 1/W_{\mathbb{1}}$ *is the inverse of the identity Wigner function. The corresponding star product*

$$W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi) \coloneqq \mathcal{P}[W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi)] \quad (48)$$

is obtained by projecting onto the spherical functions of rank zero or one, i.e., by applying the projection

$$\mathcal{P}f(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{1}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta,\phi) \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} f(\theta,\phi) \times \mathrm{Y}^{*}_{jm}(\theta,\phi)\, \sin\theta \,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi. \quad (49)$$

We will now verify that this definition satisfies the defining property $W_{FG}(\theta,\phi) = W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi)$ of a star product [see Eq. (36)]. We start by expanding the operators $F = \sum_{j=0}^{1}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} f_{jm}\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ and $G = \sum_{j=0}^{1}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} g_{jm}\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ into tensor operators T_{jm}. One directly obtains that

$$FG = \sum_{j_1,j_2=0}^{1}\sum_{m_1=-j_1}^{j_1}\sum_{m_2=-j_2}^{j_2} f_{j_1m_1} g_{j_2m_2}\, \mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}. \quad (50)$$

This summation involves products $\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}$ of tensor operators which can be rewritten following Eq. (38) as

$$\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2} = \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{n} Q^{(1/2)}_{j_1j_2L}\, C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}\mathrm{T}_{LM}, \quad (51)$$

where n can be limited to $n = \min(j_1{+}j_2, 2J)$ and $M = m_1{+}m_2$. In order to compare Eqs. (50) and (51) with their counterparts in the Wigner space, we also compute the star product $W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi)$. Recall from Eq. (29) that the Wigner representations of F and G are given by $W_F = \sum_{j=0}^{1}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} f_{jm}\mathrm{Y}_{jm}$ and $W_G = \sum_{j=0}^{1}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} g_{jm}\mathrm{Y}_{jm}$. The prestar product (i.e., the product that results in the star product after truncation) evaluates to

$$W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{j_1,j_2=0}^{1}\sum_{m_1=-j_1}^{j_1}\sum_{m_2=-j_2}^{j_2} f_{j_1m_1} g_{j_2m_2}\, \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}, \quad (52)$$

where the explicit formula of Eq. (47) results in

$$\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2} = \sqrt{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2} - \tfrac{i}{2}\{\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}, \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}\} = \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} \Lambda_{j_1j_2L} C^{LM}_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}\mathrm{Y}_{LM}. \quad (53)$$

Here, we have applied the formulas in Eqs. (41) and (42) and use the notation $\Lambda_{j_1j_2L} \coloneqq \sqrt{2\pi} Z_{j_1j_2L} - (i/2)U_{j_1j_2L}$. The corresponding star product $\star$ is obtained if we substitute the upper summation bound in Eq. (53) with $n = \min(j_1{+}j_2, 2J)$, which is the same bound as in Eq. (51). We are now ready to compare the tensor operators in Eqs. (50) and (51) with their respective complements in the Wigner space in Eqs. (52) and (53). Consequently, we have to compare the explicit values of the coefficients $Q^{(1/2)}_{j_1j_2L}$ and $\Lambda_{j_1j_2L}$ and we obtain that

$$Q^{(1/2)}_{000} = Q^{(1/2)}_{011} = Q^{(1/2)}_{101} = \Lambda_{000} = \Lambda_{011} = \Lambda_{101} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$
$$Q^{(1/2)}_{110} = \Lambda_{110} = -\tfrac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}, Q^{(1/2)}_{111} = \Lambda_{111} = -1,$$

and all other values are zero. This verifies that $\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2} = \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}) = \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2})$, and one respectively concludes that $W_{FG}(\theta,\phi) = W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi)$. The preceding discussion is summarized as

Theorem 3. *The explicit form of the star product* $\star$ *in Eq.* (48) *observes its defining property from Eq.* (36)*, i.e.* $W_{FG}(\theta,\phi) = W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi)$.

The explicit values for the prestar product $\star$ (i.e., the product that results in the star product after truncation) for Wigner functions forming a basis for a single spin 1/2 are presented in Table V. The corresponding star product $\star$ is obtained by truncating the underlined parts in Table V.

3. Equation of motion based on the star product

We can now apply Def. 1 to the differential equation given in Eq. (37) in order to provide its explicit form for a single spin 1/2. This results in the time evolution

$$\partial W_A/\partial t = \{W_A, W_{\mathcal{H}}\} \tag{54}$$

in the Wigner space which is governed by the Poisson bracket. A detailed visualization of the whole structure of our formalism leading to Eq. (54) is given in Figure 15 of Appendix E. It can be inferred from Table V (as Y_{jm} with $j > 1$ in the decomposition are symmetric with respect to the order of multiplication) that $\{W_A, W_B\} = i(W_A \star W_B - W_B \star W_A) = i(W_A \star W_B - W_B \star W_A)$ holds for a single spin 1/2. This means that a truncation is not required to compute the time evolution in this particular case. In the case of Hamiltonians that contain only ${}^J I_x$, ${}^J I_y$ and ${}^J I_z$ in the form ${}^J\mathcal{H} = c_x\, {}^J I_x + c_y\, {}^J I_y + c_z\, {}^J I_z$ Eq. (54) holds for arbitrary spin J [up to a global prefactor N_J, see [64] as implied by Eq. (46)], and agrees with the results of [25, 34]. Consequently, the time differential for an arbitrary spin J evolving under a linear Hamiltonian is given as

$$\partial W_{{}^J A}/\partial t = N_J \{W_{{}^J A}, W_{{}^J \mathcal{H}}\}, \tag{55}$$

with N_J described in [64]; ${}^J A$ denotes an arbitrary spin-J operator.

D. Multispin star product for $J = 1/2$

We extend the star product from Definition 1 to multispin systems. To this end, we introduce a projection operator which restricts spherical harmonics to rank zero and one, i.e., $\mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}} := \prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{P}^{\{k\}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}^{\{k\}} f = &\sum_{j_k=0}^{1} \sum_{m_k=-j_k}^{j_k} \mathrm{Y}_{j_k m_k}(\theta_k, \phi_k) \\ &\times \int_{\theta_k=0}^{\pi} \int_{\phi_k=0}^{2\pi} f\, \mathrm{Y}^*_{j_k m_k}(\theta_k, \phi_k) \sin\theta_k \,\mathrm{d}\phi_k \,\mathrm{d}\theta_k,\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where $f = f(\theta_1, \phi_1, \dots, \theta_N, \phi_N)$. This projection will be used to truncate superfluous terms in the following definition of the star product:

Definition 2. *The prestar product (the product that results in the star product after truncation) of two Wigner functions W_A and W_B corresponding to the multispin operators A and B in a system of N interacting spins 1/2 is defined as*

$$W_A \star W_B := W_A \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{N} \star^{\{k\}}\Big) W_B,$$

where the individual prestar operators are given by $\star^{\{k\}} := \sqrt{2\pi} - i\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{k\}}/2$ (cf. Definition 1, Eq. (47)) and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{k\}}$ denotes the Poisson bracket taken with respect to the variables θ_k and ϕ_k, see Eq. (40). The star product

$$\begin{aligned}W_A \star W_B &:= \mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}(W_A \star W_B) \\ &= \mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}\Big(W_A \Big[\prod_{k=1}^{N} \big(\sqrt{2\pi} - \tfrac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{k\}}\big)\Big] W_B\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

is obtained by applying the projection operator $\mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}$.

The multispin star product from Def. 2 allows us to establish the form of the Wigner representation for multispin product operators $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \cdots \mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}$, which consists of matrix products of single-spin operators, cf. Table IV. In the Wigner representation, matrix products are substituted by star products:

Lemma 4. *The Wigner representation of product operators $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \cdots \mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}$ is given by the prestar products (i.e., the product that results in the star product after truncation) of the Wigner representations $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_k m_k})$ of the individual single-spin operators, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \cdots \mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}) &= \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1}) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}) \\ &= \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1}) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N}).\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Proof. From Eq. (33), we know that $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_k m_k}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_k m_k}$. All the Poisson brackets in the star product vanish as their arguments operate on different spins. Therefore, the right hand side of Eq. (58) is equal to [c.f. Eq. (57)]

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \star \cdots \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N} = \sqrt{2\pi}^{N(N-1)}\, \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1 m_1} \cdots \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_N m_N} \\ &= \mathrm{Y}_{j_1 m_1}(\theta_1, \phi_1) \cdots \mathrm{Y}_{j_N m_N}(\theta_N, \phi_N) / \sqrt{2}^{N(N-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Equation (58) is now a consequence of Eq. (35). □

As a consequence of Lemma 4 and the linearity of the star product, the Wigner representation of an arbitrary product operator $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N$ can be simplified as

$$\mathcal{W}(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N) = \sqrt{2\pi}^{N(N-1)}\, \mathcal{W}(A_1)\mathcal{W}(A_2)\cdots\mathcal{W}(A_N),$$

where each linear operator is a linear combination of tensor operators $A_k = \sum_{j_k, m_k} c_{j_k m_k} \mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_k m_k}$. For example, the Wigner representation of Cartesian product operators is obtained by substituting A_k with $I_{k\alpha_k}$ for $\alpha_k \in \{x, y, z\}$.

The product of single-spin operators is computed via Lemma 1(c) as $\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_1 m_1} \mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_2 m_2} = (\mathrm{T}_{j_1 m_1} \mathrm{T}_{j_2 m_2})^{\{k\}} / \sqrt{2}^{N-1}$. Also, the star product of Wigner functions can be concisely stated by applying the notation for embedded Wigner functions $W_A^{\{k\}} := \mathrm{Y}_{00} \cdots \mathrm{Y}_{00} W_A(\theta_k, \phi_k) \mathrm{Y}_{00} \cdots \mathrm{Y}_{00} = W_A(\theta_k, \phi_k)/\sqrt{4\pi}^{N-1}$. This results in the following

Lemma 5. *The star product of the two Wigner functions $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_1 m_1}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_1 m_1}$ and $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_2 m_2}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_2 m_2}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_1 m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_2 m_2} &= W_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_1 m_1} \mathrm{T}_{j_2 m_2})}(\theta_k, \phi_k) / \sqrt{8\pi}^{N-1} \\ &= W^{\{k\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_1 m_1} \mathrm{T}_{j_2 m_2})} / \sqrt{2}^{N-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Proof. We set $F \coloneqq \mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}$ and $G \coloneqq \mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}$, and Lemma 3 verifies that $W_{FG}(\theta,\phi) = W_F(\theta,\phi) \star W_G(\theta,\phi)$. Applying the definition of the multispin star product form Eq. (57) to $\mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_1m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_2m_2}$ results in

$$\sqrt{2\pi}^{N-1}\mathcal{P}^{\{k\}}\big[\tfrac{\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_k,\phi_k)}{\sqrt{4\pi}^{N-1}} \star^{\{k\}} \tfrac{\mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}(\theta_k,\phi_k)}{\sqrt{4\pi}^{N-1}}\big].$$

The formula $\mathcal{P}^{\{k\}}[\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_k,\phi_k) \star^{\{k\}} \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}(\theta_k,\phi_k)] = W_{FG}(\theta_k,\phi_k)$ from Thm. 3 or Eq. (48) concludes the proof. □

After these preparations, we can prove that the star product given in Definition 2 actually satisfies its defining property from Eq. (36):

Theorem 6. *In a system of N interacting spins 1/2, the Wigner representations W_A and W_B of two operators A and B satisfy the equation $\mathcal{W}(AB) = W_A \star W_B$.*

Proof. We introduce the abbreviations $\vec{j} \coloneqq (j_1,\dots,j_N)$, $\vec{m} \coloneqq (m_1,\dots,m_N)$, $\vec{j}' \coloneqq (j_1',\dots,j_N')$, as well as $\vec{m}' \coloneqq (m_1',\dots,m_N')$. The product AB can be expanded as

$$\sum_{\vec{j},\vec{m},\vec{j}',\vec{m}'} a_{\vec{j},\vec{m}} b_{\vec{j}',\vec{m}'} 2^{N(N-1)} \mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1'm_1'}\cdots\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N}\mathrm{T}^{\{N\}}_{j_N'm_N'},$$

and the matrix product can be independently evaluated on each individual spin. And each product $\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_km_k}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{j_k'm_k'}$ can be written as $(\mathrm{T}_{j_km_k}\mathrm{T}_{j_k'm_k'})^{\{k\}}/\sqrt{2}^{N-1}$, cf. Lemma 1(c), and its Wigner transformation is given by $W^{\{k\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_k'm_k'}\mathrm{T}_{j_k'm_k'})}/\sqrt{2}^{N-1}$. On the other hand, we get from Lemma 4 that

$$W_A = \sum_{\vec{j},\vec{m}} a_{\vec{j},\vec{m}} \sqrt{2}^{N(N-1)} \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1} \star\cdots\star \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N},$$
$$W_B = \sum_{\vec{j}',\vec{m}'} b_{\vec{j}',\vec{m}'} \sqrt{2}^{N(N-1)} \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1} \star\cdots\star \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N},$$

and the corresponding star product is given by $W_A \star W_B = \sum_{\vec{j},\vec{m},\vec{j}',\vec{m}'} a_{\vec{j},\vec{m}} b_{\vec{j}',\vec{m}'} 2^{N(N-1)} C$, where

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}(\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1} \star\cdots\star \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N} \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1'm_1'} \star\cdots\star \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_N'm_N'}) \\ &= [\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}(\mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1} \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{j_1'm_1'})] \star\cdots\star [\mathcal{P}^{\{N\}}(\mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_Nm_N} \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{N\}}_{j_N'm_N'})] \\ &= W^{\{1\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_1'm_1'})}/\sqrt{2}^{N-1} \star\cdots\star W^{\{N\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_Nm_N}\mathrm{T}_{j_N'm_N'})}/\sqrt{2}^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second equality holds since two spherical harmonics $\mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_km_k}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{\{\ell\}}_{j_\ell m_\ell}$ do star-commute under the assumption that $k \neq \ell$, i.e. $[\mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_km_k}, \mathrm{Y}^{\{\ell\}}_{j_\ell m_\ell}]_\star = 0$. The third equality follows from Lemma 5 which shows that $\mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_km_k} \star \mathrm{Y}^{\{k\}}_{j_k'm_k'} = W^{\{k\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_km_k}\mathrm{T}_{j_k'm_k'})}/\sqrt{2}^{N-1}$. The proof is now a consequence of Lemma 4 which verifies that $W^{\{1\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_1'm_1'})} \star\cdots\star W^{\{N\}}_{(\mathrm{T}_{j_Nm_N}\mathrm{T}_{j_N'm_N'})} = \mathcal{W}[(\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}_{j_1'm_1'})^{\{1\}}\cdots(\mathrm{T}_{j_Nm_N}\mathrm{T}_{j_N'm_N'})^{\{N\}}]$. □

After verifying the correctness of the star product from Definition 2, we highlight how the star product governs the time evolution of an arbitrary number N of coupled spins 1/2. We introduce the notations $a \coloneqq \sqrt{2\pi}$ and $b_k \coloneqq -\frac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{k\}}$ and start by rewriting the star product [see Eq. (57)] into a more convenient form

$$\star = \prod_{k=1}^{N}(a+b_k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} a^{N-\ell}\Big[\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,\dots,k_\ell \\ k_\mu\neq k_\nu \text{ if } \mu\neq\nu}} b_{k_1}b_{k_2}\cdots b_{k_\ell}\Big], \quad (60)$$

where $k_\mu \in \{1,\dots,N\}$. The first four terms in the sum of Eq. (60) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \star &= a^N + a^{N-1}(b_1+b_2+\cdots+b_N) \\ &\quad + a^{N-2}(b_1b_2+\cdots+b_{N-1}b_N) \\ &\quad + a^{N-3}(b_1b_2b_3+\cdots+b_{N-2}b_{N-1}b_N)+\cdots, \end{aligned}$$

and there are in total $\sum_{\ell=0}^{N}\binom{N}{\ell} = 2^N$ terms. The star product is then obtained by applying the projector $\mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}$. Equation (60) can now be used to determine the equation of motion by computing the star commutator from Eq. (37). As terms corresponding to even indices ℓ in Eq. (60) cancel out, one obtains the equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial t} =& 2(W_{\mathbb{1}})^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}\Big[W_{\mathcal{H}} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}}^{N} c^\ell \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,\dots,k_\ell \\ k_\mu\neq k_\nu \text{ if } \mu\neq\nu}} p_{k_1}p_{k_2}\cdots p_{k_\ell} W_\rho\Big], \end{aligned}$$

where $c \coloneqq -i/\sqrt{8\pi}$, $W_{\mathbb{1}} = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}^N$ is the Wigner transform of the identity operator (see Table III) and $p_{k_\mu} \coloneqq \{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{k_\mu\}}$ is the Poisson bracket from Eq. (40); and the first two terms in the expansion are

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial t} &= 2(W_{\mathbb{1}})^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{\{1\dots N\}}\big[c\,W_{\mathcal{H}}(p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_N)W_\rho \\ &\quad + c^3\,W_{\mathcal{H}}(p_1p_2p_3+\cdots+p_{N-2}p_{N-1}p_N)W_\rho+\cdots\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the equation of motion is a sum of terms that contain odd number of products of Poisson brackets. The contribution of each term $p_{k_1}p_{k_2}\cdots p_{k_\ell}$ shrinks exponentially for increasing N as the number of Poisson brackets grows.

E. Results for multiple spins 1/2

The Wigner formalism for an arbitrary number of spins 1/2 is completely determined by the previous sections: the Wigner transformation and star product are detailed in Sec. III B 2 and III D, respectively. In the following, these results are summarized and simplified for the special cases of two and three coupled spins 1/2, as these cases are important for applications.

1. Two coupled spins

The star product in Sec. III D is restricted to $N = 2$, and we obtain convenient formulas for the case of two coupled spins 1/2. In this particular case, Definition 2 results in the prestar product

$$\begin{aligned}\star &= (\sqrt{2\pi}-\tfrac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{1\}})(\sqrt{2\pi}-\tfrac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{2\}}) \\ &= 2\pi - i\sqrt{\tfrac{\pi}{2}}(\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{1\}}+\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{2\}}) - \{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{1\}}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{2\}}/4.\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The star product $W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)\star W_B(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)$ of two Wigner functions can be consequently computed as $\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}}[W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)\star W_B(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)]$, where the corresponding projections $\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}} = \mathcal{P}^{\{2\}}\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}$ act on two spheres by projecting onto rank-one and rank-zero spherical harmonics; refer to the definition of $\mathcal{P}^{\{k\}}$ in Eq. (56). Table IV implies that tensor operators acting on single spins are expressed as $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1} = \mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{0,0}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2} = \mathrm{T}_{0,0}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}$, and their respective Wigner transformations are $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{jm}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_1,\phi_1)/\sqrt{4\pi}$ and $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{jm}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{2\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_2,\phi_2)/\sqrt{4\pi}$. Similarly, one obtains the form $\mathcal{W}(2\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2}) = \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)$ of the Wigner representation for bilinear operators, cf. Sec. III D. The star commutator

$$\begin{aligned}&[W_A,W_B]_\star = W_A\star W_B - W_B\star W_A = \\ &-i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}}(\{W_A,W_B\}^{\{1\}}+\{W_A,W_B\}^{\{2\}})\end{aligned}$$

is given by the antisymmetric part of the star product, which in the case of two spins 1/2 results in the truncated Poisson bracket over both spheres. As the time evolution of the density matrix ρ under the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is proportional to the star commutator, one obtains the equation of motion for two coupled spins 1/2:

$$\tfrac{\partial W_\rho}{\partial t} = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}}(\{W_\rho,W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{1\}}+\{W_\rho,W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{2\}}). \tag{62}$$

2. Three coupled spins

Generalizing to the three-spin case, the prestar product simplifies to

$$\star = (\sqrt{2\pi}-\tfrac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{1\}})(\sqrt{2\pi}-\tfrac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{2\}})(\sqrt{2\pi}-\tfrac{i}{2}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{3\}}), \tag{63}$$

and the star product $W_A\star W_B = \mathcal{P}^{\{1,2,3\}}(W_A\star W_B)$ is obtained from applying the projection $\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2,3\}} = \mathcal{P}^{\{3\}}\mathcal{P}^{\{2\}}\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}$; refer to the definition of $\mathcal{P}^{\{k\}}$ in Eq. (56). Normalized linear tensor operators are given as $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1} = \mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}$, $\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2} = \mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\{3\}}_{j_3m_3} = \mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{j_3m_3}$. Their Wigner representation is (e.g.) given by $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{jm}) = \mathrm{Y}^{\{1\}}_{jm} = \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_1,\phi_1)/4\pi$. In the bilinear case, the Wigner functions have the form

$$\mathcal{W}(\sqrt{2}^3\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2}) = \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)/\sqrt{4\pi},$$
$$\mathcal{W}(\sqrt{2}^3\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2}\mathrm{T}^{\{3\}}_{j_3m_3}) = \mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)\mathrm{Y}_{j_3m_3}(\theta_3,\phi_3)/\sqrt{4\pi},$$
$$\mathcal{W}(\sqrt{2}^3\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{3\}}_{j_3m_3}) = \mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}_{j_3m_3}(\theta_3,\phi_3)/\sqrt{4\pi}.$$

The correctly normalized trilinear operator $\sqrt{2}^6\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{j_2m_2}\mathrm{T}^{\{3\}}_{j_3m_3}$ results in the Wigner function $\mathrm{Y}_{j_1m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}_{j_2m_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2)\mathrm{Y}_{j_3m_3}(\theta_3,\phi_3)$. Again, the time evolution is determined by the star commutator

$$\begin{aligned}[W_A,W_B]_\star &= -2\pi i\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2,3\}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\{W_A,W_B\}^{\{k\}} \\ &+ \tfrac{i}{4}\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2,3\}}W_A(\{.,.\}^{\{1\}}\{.,.\}^{\{2\}}\{.,.\}^{\{3\}})W_B.\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Here, the triple Poisson bracket is non-zero only for trilinear operators and has the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{1\}}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{2\}}\{\cdot,\cdot\}^{\{3\}} &= \tfrac{1}{R^3\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\sin\theta_3} \\ &+ \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_2,\phi_3,\theta_1}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\theta_2,\theta_3} \\ &- \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\phi_3,\theta_2}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_2,\theta_1,\theta_3} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\phi_2,\theta_3}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_3,\theta_1,\theta_2} \\ &+ \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\theta_2,\theta_3}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_2,\phi_3,\theta_1} + \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_2,\theta_1,\theta_3}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\phi_3,\theta_2} \\ &+ \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_3,\theta_1,\theta_2}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\phi_2,\theta_3} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^3_{\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3}\overrightarrow{\partial}^3_{\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3},\end{aligned}$$

where the notation $\partial^3_{\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3} = \partial^3/(\partial\theta_1\partial\theta_2\partial\theta_3)$ is used and the direction of an arrow signifies whether the derivative is taken with respect to the function on the left- or right-hand side.

3. Geometrical interpretation of the scalar product of vector operator in the Wigner representation

Let us consider the following two vector operators in a system of two spins 1/2 as $\mathrm{I}_k = (I_{kx},I_{ky},I_{kz})$ for $k\in\{1,2\}$. The scalar product of these two vector operators yields

$$\mathrm{I}_1\cdot\mathrm{I}_2 = I_{1x}I_{2x}+I_{1y}I_{2y}+I_{1z}I_{2z} = \sum_{m=-1}^{1}\mathrm{T}_{1m}\otimes\mathrm{T}^\dagger_{1m}/2, \tag{65}$$

where the second equality is given by a decomposition into tensor operators. Equation (65) can be generalized to arbitrary J via [64]. Many important coupling Hamiltonians of two angular momenta can be described in this form including the scalar coupling and the spin-orbit coupling. The Wigner representation directly follows as

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{I}_1\cdot\mathrm{I}_2) = \sum_{m=-1}^{1}\mathrm{Y}_{1m}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}^*_{1m}(\theta_2,\phi_2)/2. \tag{66}$$

Given the unit vectors $\vec{r}_k$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which are parametrized in spherical coordinates as

$$\vec{r}_k := (x_k,y_k,z_k)^T = (\sin\theta_k\cos\phi_k,\sin\theta_k\sin\phi_k,\cos\phi_k)^T,$$

their scalar product is given by $\vec{r}_1\cdot\vec{r}_2 = \cos\gamma$, where γ denotes the angle between the two unit vectors $\vec{r}_1$ and



FIG. 6. (Color online) The Wigner function $W(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)$ of two spins is determined by their arguments which define two points on the surface of the unit sphere. These points correspond to the unit vectors $\vec{r}_1$ and $\vec{r}_2$.

$\vec{r}_2$. Consequently the addition theorem of spherical harmonics [63] results in

$$P_j(\cos\gamma) = \frac{4\pi}{2j+1}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mathrm{Y}_{jm}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}^*_{jm}(\theta_2,\phi_2), \quad (67)$$

where $P_j(\alpha)$ is the Legendre polynomial of degree j. Thus, one can rewrite the Wigner function in Eq. (66) in terms of the angle γ as $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{I}_1\cdot\mathrm{I}_2) = R^2\cos\gamma$, with $R := \sqrt{3/(8\pi)}$.

The arguments of the Wigner function of two coupled spins $W = W(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)$ can also be given in terms of the unit vectors $\vec{r}_1$ and $\vec{r}_2$ as $W = W(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)$, consequently Eq. (66) becomes $\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{I}_1\cdot\mathrm{I}_2) = R^2\,\vec{r}_1\cdot\vec{r}_2$ by applying Eq. (67). Expanding this expression results in

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathrm{I}_1\cdot\mathrm{I}_2) = R^2\,(x_1x_2+y_1y_2+z_1z_2) \quad (68)$$
$$= R^2[\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2+\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\cos(\phi_1-\phi_2)]. \quad (69)$$

In general, the Wigner function of two spins is a complex number $W(\theta_1,\phi_1,\theta_2,\phi_2)$ which depends on the arguments θ_1, ϕ_1, θ_2, and ϕ_2. These arguments define two points on a sphere, see Fig. 6. The Wigner function $\tilde{W}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) := \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{I}_1\cdot\mathrm{I}_2)$ is now completely determined by the angle γ between the two vectors $\vec{r}_1$ and $\vec{r}_2$. The value of the Wigner function is given by $\tilde{W}(\vec{r}_1',\vec{r}_2') = 0$ for the particular choices of $\vec{r}_1' = (0,0,1)$ and $\vec{r}_2' = (1,0,0)$. And similarly for $\vec{r}_1'' = (1/\sqrt{2},1/\sqrt{2},0)$ and $\vec{r}_2'' = (0,1/\sqrt{2},1/\sqrt{2})$, one obtains $\tilde{W}(\vec{r}_1'',\vec{r}_2'') = R^2/2$.

4. Spins evolving under a natural Hamiltonian

Let us finally consider the case where an arbitrary number N of spins 1/2 evolve under a Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\sum_{j,m} a_{j,m,k}\mathrm{T}^{\{k\}}_{jm} + \sum_{k_1\neq k_2}^{N}\sum_{\substack{j_1,j_2\\ m_1,m_2}} b^{j_2,m_2,k_2}_{j_1,m_1,k_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{k_1\}}_{j_1m_1}\mathrm{T}^{\{k_2\}}_{j_2m_2}$$

which contains only linear and bilinear interactions, i.e., natural interactions of physical systems. Refer also to Eq. (65) in Sec. III E 3 for the form of the coupling Hamiltonian. In this case, the following simple form of the time evolution is obtained

$$\partial W_\rho/\partial t = \sqrt{2\pi}^{N-1}\mathcal{P}^{\{1\ldots N\}}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\{W_\rho, W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{k\}}. \quad (70)$$

for the Wigner function W_ρ of an arbitrary N-spin density matrix ρ.

IV. ADVANCED EXAMPLES

In this section, we consider two advanced examples to convey our approach of using sums of product operators and directly determining the time evolution of quantum systems in Wigner space. We analyze the case of two coupled spins evolving under the CNOT gate (see Sec. IV A). Finally, we present an example for the time evolution of three coupled spins 1/2 (see Sec. IV B).

A. CNOT gate

We continue our discussion of Wigner functions for two coupled spins 1/2 from Sec. II B and consider the evolution of pure states under the controlled NOT (CNOT) gate [65]. Section IV A 1 starts with the computation of the time evolution in the Wigner frame. In Sec. IV A 2, we analyze the creation of entanglement using Wigner functions and their pictorial representations.

1. Evolution under the CNOT gate

A pure initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle := |\beta\rangle\otimes|\alpha\rangle \equiv |\beta\alpha\rangle$ [66] is evolving under the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega(I_{1\beta}I_{2x} + I_{1z}/2) = \omega[(\mathbb{1}_4/2 - I_{1z})I_{2x} + I_{1z}/2], \quad (71)$$

where $I_{1\beta} := I_\beta\otimes\mathbb{1}_2$ and $I_\beta := \mathbb{1}_2/2 - I_z = |\beta\rangle\langle\beta|$ projects onto the pure state $|\beta\rangle$; likewise $I_\alpha := \mathbb{1}_2/2 + I_z = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$. Exponentiation of $-it\mathcal{H}$ leads to the unitary

$$U_t = \exp(-i\mathcal{H}t) = \xi(t)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1+e^{i\omega t}}{2} & \frac{1-e^{i\omega t}}{2}\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-e^{i\omega t}}{2} & \frac{1+e^{i\omega t}}{2}\end{pmatrix} \quad (72)$$

of determinant one with $\xi(t) = \exp(-i\omega t/4)$, and U_T with $T = \pi/\omega$ is the CNOT gate. The initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle$ evolves into

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U_t|\psi(0)\rangle = \tfrac{\xi(t)}{2}[(1+e^{i\omega t})|\beta\alpha\rangle + (1-e^{i\omega t})|\beta\beta\rangle], \quad (73)$$

where $|\psi(T)\rangle \propto |\beta\beta\rangle$. In preparation to switch to Wigner functions, Eq. (73) is rewritten in its density-matrix form

$$\rho(t) = |\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)| = \rho_A\,\rho_B(t) \text{ where} \quad (74)$$

$$\rho_A := I_{1\beta} \text{ and } \rho_B(t) := \mathbb{1}_4/2 + \cos(\omega t) I_{2z} - \sin(\omega t) I_{2y}. \tag{75}$$

Recalling the respective Wigner functions from Table III, one obtains for ρ_A, $\rho_B(t)$, and $\mathcal{H}$ the Wigner functions

$$W_{\rho_A} = \tfrac{1}{4\pi} - \lambda \cos\theta_1 = \mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta}), \tag{76a}$$

$$W_{\rho_B}(t) = \tfrac{1}{4\pi} + \cos(\omega t)\, \lambda \cos\theta_2 - \sin(\omega t)\, \lambda \sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_2, \tag{76b}$$

$$W_{\mathcal{H}} = \omega[2\pi(\tfrac{1}{4\pi} - \lambda\cos\theta_1)\lambda \sin\theta_2 \cos\phi_2 + \lambda \cos\theta_1/2], \tag{76c}$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{3}/(4\pi) = R/\sqrt{2\pi}$. The product of W_{ρ_A} and $W_{\rho_B}(t)$ yields the overall Wigner function $W_\rho(t) = 2\pi W_{\rho_A} W_{\rho_B}(t)$. Its time evolution is shown in Fig. 7 where only one of the two spherical functions varies in time, reflecting the product form of $W_\rho(t)$.

The explicit form of the time evolution can also be derived from Eq. (16), hence $\partial W_\rho/\partial t = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2\}}(P_1 + P_2)$ where $P_1 = 2\pi W_{\rho_B}(t)\{W_{\rho_A}, W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{1\}}$ and $P_2 = 2\pi W_{\rho_A}\{W_{\rho_B}(t), W_{\mathcal{H}}\}^{\{2\}}$. As the Wigner function W_{ρ_A} depends only on the variable θ_1 and $W_{\mathcal{H}}$ does not depend on the variable ϕ_1, it is straightforward to deduce that $P_1 = 0$. This implies that W_{ρ_A} is time independent. The other Poisson bracket P_2 can be written as

$$P_2 = \omega[\sqrt{2\pi} R \mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta})]^2 [\cos(\omega t)\, \{\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_2 \cos\phi_2\}^{\{2\}}$$



FIG. 7. (Color online) Evolution of the density matrix of a pure quantum state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\beta\alpha\rangle$ under the CNOT gate, implemented by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \omega[I_{1\beta}I_{2x} + I_{1z}/2]$. PROPS representations of the Wigner functions W_ρ and $\partial W_\rho/\partial t$ are shown for the times $t = 0$, $\omega t = \pi/2$, and $\omega t = \pi$. The control spin is set to $|\beta\rangle$, and the second spin flips, i.e., $|\psi(\pi/\omega)\rangle = |\beta\beta\rangle$ [51].



FIG. 8. (Color online) The Wigner functions $W_\rho(t)$ from Fig. 7 are decomposed for $\omega t \in \{0, \pi/2, \pi\}$ into $1/(4\pi)$ and $\cos(\omega t)\,(\lambda\cos\theta_2) - \sin(\omega t)\,(\lambda \sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_2)$ via Eqs. (75)-(76) [51].

$$- \sin(\omega t)\, \{\sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_2, \sin\theta_2 \cos\phi_2\}^{\{2\}}]. \tag{77}$$

Applying the definition of Eq. (9), the Poisson brackets in Eq. (77) are computed as

$$\{\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_2\cos\phi_2\}^{\{2\}} = -\sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_2/R,$$
$$\{\sin\theta_2\sin\phi_2, \sin\theta_2\cos\phi_2\}^{\{2\}} = \cos\theta_2/R.$$

The idempotency $(I_{k\beta})^2 = I_{k\beta}$ implies $2\pi\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}\mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta})^2 = \mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta})$ where $\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}$ projects onto rank-one and rank-zero spherical harmonics, i.e., the term from Eq. (77) results in $[\sqrt{2\pi}R\mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta})]^2 = R^2\mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta})$. Finally, the equation of motion based on Eq. (16) is

$$\partial W_\rho/\partial t = 2\pi\omega\lambda \mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta}) \times [-\cos(\omega t)\sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_2 - \sin(\omega t)\cos\theta_2],$$

which conforms with the explicitly known Wigner function from Eq. (76) as $\partial W_\rho/\partial t = 2\pi W_{\rho_A}\partial W_{\rho_B}(t)/\partial t$.

Similarly, one could start with $\tilde{\rho}_A = I_{1\alpha}$ and one would obtain for $t = 0$ that $\tilde{P}_2 \propto \mathcal{W}(I_{1\beta})\mathcal{W}(I_{1\alpha}) \propto (1-\sqrt{3}\cos\theta_1)(1+\sqrt{3}\cos\theta_1)$ and the result $1 - 3\cos^2\theta_1$ is proportional to Y_{20}, which is projected by $\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}}$ to zero. Consequently, the quantum state $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ would be constant, reflecting the nature of the CNOT gate.

Figure 7 visualizes the time evolution: starting from $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\beta\alpha\rangle$ one has the control state $|\beta\rangle$, and the state of the second spin flips from $|\alpha\rangle$ to $|\beta\rangle$, resulting in $|\psi(T)\rangle \propto |\beta\beta\rangle$. The Wigner function of the density matrix $I_{1\beta}$ of the pure state $|\beta\rangle$ is proportional to $1 - \sqrt{3}\cos\theta$ and is depicted in Fig. 7 as a big positive lobe in red (i.e. dark gray) lying below a small negative lobe in green (i.e. light gray), refer to the spherical function in the left circle of W_ρ. The spherical function in the right circle of W_ρ, starts with a big positive lobe lying over a small negative lobe, and this object is rotated. At time $T/2$, one observes for the second spin an equal superposition of $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$. The form of the Wigner function $W_\rho(t)$ during the time evolution is further highlighted in Fig. 8 by decomposing it into a time-independent part $1/(4\pi)$ and a time-dependent part

$\cos(\omega t)\,(\lambda\cos\theta_2) - \sin(\omega t)\,(\lambda\sin\theta_2\sin\phi_2)$. The time-dependent part is simply a rotation of I_{2z} around the x axis.

2. *Entanglement creation with the CNOT gate*

In order to highlight the generation of entanglement, the time evolution under the Hamiltonian of Eq. (71) from Sec. IV A 1 is applied to the initial state $|\gamma(0)\rangle = (|\alpha\alpha\rangle + |\beta\alpha\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. This results in the time-dependent state $|\gamma(t)\rangle = U_t|\gamma(0)\rangle = (\xi(t)|\alpha\alpha\rangle + |\psi(t)\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, cf. Eqs. (72)-(73). In particular for $t = T$ with $T = \pi/\omega$, one obtains (up to a phase) a maximally entangled Bell state $|\phi^+\rangle = (|\alpha\alpha\rangle + |\beta\beta\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.

Equivalently, the time evolution can be described on the density operator $\sigma(t) = |\gamma(t)\rangle\langle\gamma(t)| = I_{1\alpha}I_{2\alpha} + \rho(t) + A(t) + A^\dagger(t)$, where $\rho(t)$ is given in Eq. (74) and $A(t) = \bar{\xi}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\alpha\alpha|$. The density operator can also be rewritten as $\sigma(t) = R_0 + \cos(\omega t)R_c + \sin(\omega t)R_s$ [67], where

$$R_0 = [+I_{1x}I_{2x} - I_{1y}I_{2y} + I_{1x}I_{2\alpha} + I_{1\alpha}I_{2\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}I_{1\beta}]/2, \quad (78a)$$
$$R_c = [-I_{1x}I_{2x} + I_{1y}I_{2y} + I_{1x}I_{2\alpha} + I_{1\beta}I_{2z}]/2, \quad (78b)$$
$$R_s = [I_{1y}(I_{2\alpha} - I_{2x}) - (I_{1x} + I_{1\beta})I_{2y}]/2. \quad (78c)$$

The evolution of these parts is shown in Fig. 9 together with the entanglement of the density operator as functions of time. Also, we obtain the decomposition

$$\sigma(0) = R_0 + R_c = (\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_4 + I_{1x})I_{2\alpha}, \quad (79a)$$
$$\sigma(T) = R_0 - R_c = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathbb{1}_4 + I_{1x}I_{2x} - I_{1y}I_{2y} + I_{1z}I_{2z}. \quad (79b)$$

We switch now to the Wigner functions

$$W_\sigma(0) = 2\pi(\tfrac{1}{4\pi} + W_{1x})W_{2\alpha},$$



FIG. 9. Entanglement and contributions of the density operator $\sigma(t) = |\gamma(t)\rangle\langle\gamma(t)| = R_0 + \cos(\omega t)R_c + \sin(\omega t)R_s$ [see Eq. (78)] during the evolution under the Hamiltonian of Eq. (71). The von-Neumann entropy of the partial trace is used as entanglement measure [65].



FIG. 10. (Color online) Illustration of the Wigner functions $W_\sigma(0)$, W_{R_s}, and $W_\sigma(T)$ with $T = \pi/\omega$ by the PROPS representation. The generation of the maximally entangled Bell state $|\phi^+\rangle = (|\alpha\alpha\rangle + |\beta\beta\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ at time T is reflected by the higher number of terms for $W_\sigma(T)$ [51].

$$W_\sigma(T) = 2\pi(\tfrac{1}{8\pi} + W_{1x}W_{2x} - W_{1y}W_{2y} + W_{1z}W_{2z}), \quad (80)$$
$$W_{\mathcal{H}} = 2\pi W_{1\beta}W_{2x} + \tfrac{1}{2}W_{1z},$$

for the density operators of Eq. (79) and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of Eq. (71) by applying Table III, where W_{ka} denotes the Wigner function of I_{ka}. Figure 10 depicts the Wigner functions $W_\sigma(0)$, $W_\sigma(T)$, and W_{R_s} in their PROPS representations. The Wigner function $W_\sigma(t) = W_{R_0} + \cos(\omega t)W_{R_c} + \sin(\omega t)W_{R_s}$ satisfies the equation of motion in Eq. (16) [68].

As for $|\phi^+\rangle$, the maximal entangled pure states

$$|\phi^\pm\rangle = (|\alpha\alpha\rangle \pm |\beta\beta\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \text{ and } |\psi^\pm\rangle = (|\alpha\beta\rangle \pm |\beta\alpha\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$$

of a system of two spins 1/2 have the density matrices

$$|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+| = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathbb{1}_4 + I_{1x}I_{2x} - I_{1y}I_{2y} + I_{1z}I_{2z},$$
$$|\phi^-\rangle\langle\phi^-| = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathbb{1}_4 - I_{1x}I_{2x} + I_{1y}I_{2y} + I_{1z}I_{2z},$$
$$|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+| = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathbb{1}_4 + I_{1x}I_{2x} + I_{1y}I_{2y} - I_{1z}I_{2z},$$
$$|\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-| = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathbb{1}_4 - I_{1x}I_{2x} - I_{1y}I_{2y} - I_{1z}I_{2z},$$

whose Wigner functions can be computed as in Eq. (80).

As detailed in Sec. III E 3 above, the Wigner transform of an operator of the form $I_{1x}I_{2x} + I_{1y}I_{2y} + I_{1z}I_{2z}$ results in the scalar product of two vectors $W(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = R^2\,\vec{r}_1\cdot\vec{r}_2$, providing a geometrical interpretation. Here, the argument of the Wigner function is given by the unit vectors $\vec{r}_1$ and $\vec{r}_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, corresponding to the angles θ_1, ϕ_1 and θ_2, ϕ_2. As a result of the addition theorem of spherical harmonics, the Wigner function is given by the scalar product $\vec{r}_1\cdot\vec{r}_2$ of the two vectors. The Wigner function of the maximally entangled state $|\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-|$ is consequently given as

$$\mathcal{W}(|\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-|) = 1/(8\pi) - R^2\,\vec{r}_1\cdot\vec{r}_2,$$

it is thus entirely described by the angle between the two argument vectors. Similarly, the maximally entangled state $|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|$ has the Wigner function $1/(8\pi)+R^2\,\vec{r}_1'\cdot\vec{r}_2$, where the y entry of $\vec{r}_1'$ is negated, i.e., $\vec{r}_1'$ has the entries $[\vec{r}_1]_x$, $-[\vec{r}_1]_y$, and $[\vec{r}_1]_z$. Therefore, all Wigner functions of maximally entangled pure states for two spins 1/2 can be described using the scalar product of their argument vectors after negating certain entries.

B. Evolution of three coupled spins

Let us now also discuss an example for the case of three coupled spins. The system starts in the state $\rho(0) = I_{2x}$ and evolves under the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \pi\nu(2I_{1z}I_{2z} + 2I_{2z}I_{3z})$ which couples both the first and second spin as well as the second and third spin with the same coupling strength ν. This results in anti-phase and double anti-phase operators [69]. The corresponding solution of the the von-Neumann equation is given by

$$\rho(t) = \sin(2\pi\nu t)[2I_{1z}I_{2y}+2I_{2y}I_{3z}]/2 \\ +[\cos(2\pi\nu t)-1]4I_{1z}I_{2x}I_{3z}/2 + [\cos(2\pi\nu t)+1]I_{2x}/2.$$

The detectable NMR signal corresponding to I_{2x} is proportional to $[\cos(2\pi\nu t)+1]$, and the corresponding spectrum has the well-known form of a triplet (see, e.g., Figure 18.9 in [70]) whose lines are separated by ν and whose relative intensities are given by $1:2:1$.

The relevant Wigner functions are given by $W_{\mathcal{H}} = \pi\nu 2R^2(\cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta_3)\cos\theta_2/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and $W_\rho(t) = W_0 + \sin(2\pi\nu t)W_s + \cos(2\pi\nu t)W_c$, where

$$W_0 = \tfrac{2R^3}{3}(1-3\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_3)\sin\theta_2\cos\phi_2,$$
$$W_s = \tfrac{R^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(\cos\theta_1+\cos\theta_3)\sin\theta_2\sin\phi_2,$$



FIG. 11. (Color online) Visualization of the Wigner functions for the time evolution of three coupled spins: the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \pi\nu(2I_{1z}I_{2z}+2I_{2z}I_{3z})$ acts on the starting state $\rho(0) = I_{2x}$; $\rho[1/(4\nu)] = I_{1z}I_{2y} + I_{2y}I_{3z} - 2I_{1z}I_{2x}I_{3z} + I_{2x}/2$ and $\rho[1/(2\nu)] = -4I_{1z}I_{2x}I_{3z}$ [51].

$$W_c = \tfrac{2R^3}{3}(1+3\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_3)\sin\theta_2\cos\phi_2.$$

Their form can be inferred from Table III and the fact that $\mathcal{W}(I_{1a}I_{2b}I_{3c}) = (2\pi)^N\mathcal{W}(I_{1a})\mathcal{W}(I_{2b})\mathcal{W}(I_{3c})$ for $a,b,c \in \{x,y,z\}$. The evolution of these parts is shown in Figure 11. For this particular case, the equation of motion is given by (see Sec. III E 4, Eq. (70))

$$\partial W_\rho/\partial t = 2\pi\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2,3\}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\{W_\rho, W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{k\}}.$$

We verify that $W_\rho(t)$ satisfies the equation of motion by checking that $\partial W_0/\partial t = 0$, $\partial W_c/\partial t \propto W_s$, and $\partial W_s/\partial t \propto W_c$ hold. In the first case, the Poisson brackets $\{W_0, W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{1\}}$ and $\{W_0, W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{3\}}$ vanish as they are respectively proportional to $\{\cos\theta_1, \cos\theta_1\}^{\{1\}}$ and $\{\cos\theta_3, \cos\theta_1\}^{\{3\}}$. The Poisson bracket $\{W_0, W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{2\}}$ is nonzero, however its projection by $\mathcal{P}^{\{1,2,3\}}$ is zero. Similarly, one can calculate all Poisson brackets and projections to complete the verification of the equation of motion for this example.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONNECTIONS

In this section, we complement the description of the Wigner formalism for spins from Sec. III and discuss connections to alternative or related characterizations. This will allow for simpler interpretations of our formalism and will link to notions which might provide further avenues to our work. First, we draw important connections between the Poisson bracket and the canonical angular momentum (see Sec. V A). We continue in Sec. V B by relating the Wigner formalism of finite- and infinite-dimensional quantum systems. Certain Wigner functions are interpreted in terms of quaternions (see Sec. V C). Finally, the evolution of non-hermitian states is considered in Sec. V D.

A. Poisson bracket and the canonical angular momentum

We detail how the Wigner formalism of spins relates to the angular momentum of infinite-dimensional quantum systems described by the canonical angular momentum operator $\mathcal{L} = r \times p$. The eigenfunctions of the canonical angular momentum operator $\mathcal{L}$ are spherical harmonics. The corresponding pure eigenstates are represented by spherical functions $\psi(\theta,\phi)$ and evolve according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\partial\psi(\theta,\phi)/\partial t = -i\mathcal{H}\psi(\theta,\phi). \tag{81}$$

Each component $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ of the vector operator corresponding to the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha\in\{x,y,z\}}\omega_\alpha I_\alpha$ generates a rotation $\mathcal{L}_\alpha\psi(\theta,\phi)$ of the spherical functions around the axis α for $\alpha \in \{x,y,z\}$. Providing a direct correspondence,

spin operators $\mathrm{I} = (I_x, I_y, I_z)$ generalize the angular momentum $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}_y, \mathcal{L}_z)$. The Wigner representation for spins describes in general a mixed quantum state using a linear combination of spherical harmonics. We show that the time evolution of the Wigner representation of a spin 1/2 is closely related to the time evolution of an infinite-dimensional quantum system by rewriting the equation of motion into a form which is analogous to the Schrödinger equation in Eq. (81).

The equation of motion of a single spin 1/2 is given by Eq. (54) combining the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and an operator A, while applying the Poisson bracket from Eq. (40). It can be reformulated by defining a differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ as a function of the Hamiltonian, acting on the Wigner function W_A of A:

$$\partial W_A/\partial t = -i\mathcal{D}(W_A) = -i\left(f^{\theta}_{(\mathcal{H})}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} - f^{\phi}_{(\mathcal{H})}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\right)W_A. \quad (82)$$

Here, the definitions $f^{\theta}_{(\mathcal{H})} = (\partial W_{\mathcal{H}}/\partial\theta)(i/R\sin\theta)$ and $f^{\phi}_{(\mathcal{H})} = (\partial W_{\mathcal{H}}/\partial\phi)(i/R\sin\theta)$ have been applied. Integrating the differential equation, one obtains the propagator

$$W_A(t) = \exp(-i\mathcal{D}t)W_A(0), \quad (83)$$

where the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ depends on $\mathcal{H}$. We consider the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H} = \omega_z I_z$, $\mathcal{H} = \omega_x I_x$, and $\mathcal{H} = \omega_y I_y$: Assuming $\mathcal{H} = \omega_z I_z$, it follows that $W_{\mathcal{H}} = \omega_z \mathrm{Y}_{1,0}/\sqrt{2} = R\omega_z\cos\theta$. One obtains the differential operator $\mathcal{D} = -\omega_z i(\partial/\partial\phi) - 0 = \omega_z\mathcal{L}_z$, where $\mathcal{L}_z$ is the canonical angular momentum operator $\mathcal{L}_z = (r\times p)_z$ in spherical coordinates (see pp. 662 in [7]). The corresponding propagator is then given by $\exp(-i\omega_z\mathcal{L}_z t)$, which by its definition rotates the Wigner function by an angle $\omega_z t$ around the z axis. In the case of $\mathcal{H} = \omega_x I_x$, the Wigner representation has the form $W_{\mathcal{H}} = \omega_x R\sin\theta\cos\phi$ and the differential operator is given by the expected canonical angular momentum component $\mathcal{D} = i\omega_x[\sin\phi(\partial/\partial\theta) + \cot\theta\cos\phi(\partial/\partial\phi)] = \omega_x\mathcal{L}_x$. Finally, $\mathcal{H} = \omega_y I_y$ leads to the differential operator $\mathcal{D} = \omega_y\mathcal{L}_y$.

As a conclusion for a single spin 1/2, Wigner functions together with a star commutator correspond to canonical angular momentum operators with a cross product. This means that a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha\in\{x,y,z\}}\omega_\alpha I_\alpha$ is mapped to the differential operator $\mathcal{D} = f(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_\alpha \omega_\alpha(r\times p)_\alpha$, and the time evolution is given by

$$i\partial W_\rho/\partial t = [W_{\mathcal{H}}, W_\rho]_\star = f(\mathcal{L})W_\rho. \quad (84)$$

The corresponding propagator can be written as

$$\exp(-i\mathcal{D}t) = \exp[-itf(\mathcal{L})]. \quad (85)$$

Generalizations to the case of linear Hamiltonians ${}^J\mathcal{H} = \omega_x\,{}^J I_x + \omega_y\,{}^J I_y + \omega_z\,{}^J I_z$ with arbitrary J are also possible, cf. Eq. (55).

Comparing Eq. (84) with Eq. (81), one concludes that the time evolution of Wigner functions for spins is formally equivalent to the time evolution of the angular part of infinite-dimensional quantum states. The time evolution of spin-1/2 Wigner functions described in Section III B 3 is based on the equation of motion given by the star commutator [refer to Eq. (54)], however, Eq. (84) provides an alternative formulation for a spin 1/2 based on the Schrödinger equation by mapping the spin operator I_α onto $(r\times p)_\alpha$.

B. Finite- and infinite-dimensional degrees of freedom

We discuss now important relations between Wigner representations for finite- and infinite-dimensional degrees of freedom. In the finite-dimensional case (i.e. for spins), Wigner representations have been developed in Sec. III extending approaches based on the Stratonovich postulates (see Appendix III B). Methods for Wigner representations applicable to infinite-dimensional quantum systems with a flat phase space have been more widely discussed in the literature, see Sec. I A and [9–17]. We explore how spin operators can be uniquely mapped onto functions over a phase space that are restricted to the surface of a sphere. This provides a rotational covariance for operators and their corresponding Wigner representations. Expectation values of operators are calculated as quasi-probability weighted integrals of phase-space functions.

We discuss basic properties of the (classical) Wigner functions for infinite-dimensional spaces (see Sec. I A) which have the quality of a flat phase space, in contrast to the case for spins. Flat phase-space coordinates translate in the case of spins to curvilinear spherical coordinates which form a Heisenberg pair as their star commutator, which is described in Sec. III B 3 [refer to Eq. (55)], results in the canonical commutation relation $[q,p]_\star = i\hbar$. We also compute an upper bound for the absolute value of Wigner functions and we show by investigating its limit for $J\to\infty$ that arbitrary high values corresponding to localized probability distributions are possible.

1. *Phase-space coordinates*

Flat phase-space coordinates (p,q) are replaced by curvilinear coordinates $(R\cos\theta,\phi)$ in the Wigner formalism for spins, where R denotes a proportionality factor. This implies that p and q describe coordinates on the surface of a three-dimensional sphere. In analogy to infinite-dimensional quantum mechanics, where the momentum operator p generates the translation $(p, q+\mathrm{d}q)$ in the (p,q) phase-space, the spin-1/2 Wigner function $R\cos\theta = \mathcal{W}(I_z)$ generates a rotation $(R\cos\theta, \phi+\mathrm{d}\phi)$ by the infinitesimal angle $\mathrm{d}\phi$ in the spherical phase-space coordinates $(R\cos\theta,\phi)$. In general, the operator ${}^J I_z$ generates a rotation of a spin J around the z axis, and ${}^J I_z$ is mapped to the function $R\cos\theta/N_J$ [64].

2. Commutators

In the case of the infinite-dimensional Wigner representation, the star commutator $[f,g]_\star$ is given by $f\star g - g\star f = i\hbar\{f,g\}$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^3)$ [14–16] where $\{f,g\} = \partial_q f\partial_p g - \partial_p f\partial_q g$ denotes here the Poisson bracket from classical physics (see, e.g., Vol. 1, §42 of [20]). Switching from flat phase-space coordinates (p,q) to the curvilinear coordinates $(R\cos\theta,\phi)$ of the spin-1/2 Wigner representation and setting $\hbar\to 1$, one obtains the same star commutator as in III C, see Eq. (54).

The canonical commutation relation $[q,p] = i\hbar$, which translates to $[q,p]_\star = i\hbar$ in the Wigner representation, states that the infinite-dimensional coordinate and momentum operators are not simultaneously determined. The coordinates $(R\cos\theta,\phi)$ also form a Heisenberg pair, as conjugate variables via the star commutator $[\phi, R\cos\theta]_\star = i$. In general, the formula $[\phi,\mathcal{W}({}^J I_z)]_\star = i$ is implied by Eqs. (46) and (55), and ${}^J I_z$ is mapped to the function $R\cos\theta/N_J$ [64].

The canonical commutation relation implies that the infinite-dimensional operators p and q have only infinite-dimensional matrix representations. The same holds in case of spins for the coordinate ϕ describing the phase angle in the x-y plane: it has no finite-dimensional matrix representation as $\mathcal{W}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\phi)] = \phi$ is valid only in the case of $J\to\infty$. This can be verified by defining the inverse Wigner transform of ϕ as $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\phi)$ [see Eq. (30)], and this results in

$$\int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi}\phi\,\Delta_J(\theta,\phi)\,\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi \propto \sum_{j=0}^{2J}\sum_{m=-j}^{j}{}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm} \quad (86)$$
$$\times\int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}P_{j|m|}(\cos\theta)\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi}\phi\,\exp(-im\phi)\,\mathrm{d}\phi.$$

Here, the last integral is the Fourier series expansion of ϕ and it specifies an infinite series in m. This implies that a unique matrix representation for ϕ exists only in case of $J\to\infty$. The canonical commutation relation $[q,p] = i\hbar$ is only valid for infinite-dimensional representations. Likewise, the kernel defining the Wigner transformation of spin operators [see Eq. (26)] becomes in the limit of $J\to\infty$ identical to the kernel of an infinite-dimensional quantum system [71].

3. Normalization and upper bound

In general, normalized operators $\mathrm{tr}(AA^\dagger) = 1$ are mapped to functions $W_A(\theta,\phi)$ on the unit sphere, where the square $|W_A(\theta,\phi)|^2$ of the complex absolute value provides a normalized surface integral. This can be checked by expanding the operator $A = \sum_{j,m} c_{jm}\,{}^J\mathrm{T}_{jm}$ into tensor operators, then its Wigner transformation is given by $W_A = \sum_{j,m} c_{jm}\mathrm{Y}_{jm}$. The condition $\mathrm{tr}(AA^\dagger) = 1$ is mapped to the condition $\sum_{j,m} c_{jm}c^*_{jm} = 1$; the normalized surface integral $|W_A(\theta,\phi)|^2 = |\sum_{j,m} c_{jm}\mathrm{Y}_{jm}|^2$ for a linear combination of spherical harmonics follows from the orthonormality of spherical harmonics in Eq. (28). Also, the norm of a matrix is conserved in the Wigner representation.

We compute now an upper bound for the absolute value of the Wigner function W_A of a normalized operator A with $\mathrm{tr}(AA^\dagger) = 1$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, implies the inequality

$$\mathrm{tr}(\Delta_J\Delta_J)\,\mathrm{tr}(AA^\dagger) \geq |\,\mathrm{tr}(A\,\Delta_J)|^2. \quad (87)$$

The right-hand side is equal to $|W_A(\theta,\phi)|^2$ where we have applied the definition of the Wigner function $W_A(\theta,\phi)$ from Eq. (25). Assuming a normalized operator A, the left-hand side of Eq. (87) is equivalent to the trace of the square of the kernel defined in Eq. (26), i.e., the left-hand side is equal to

$$\mathrm{tr}(\Delta_J\Delta_J) = \sum_{j=0}^{2J}\sum_{m=-j}^{j}\mathrm{Y}_{jm}\mathrm{Y}^*_{jm} = \sum_{j=0}^{2J}\frac{2j{+}1}{4\pi} = \frac{(2J{+}1)^2}{4\pi}.$$

The aforementioned statements imply the upper bound $|W_A(\theta,\phi)| \leq (2J{+}1)/\sqrt{4\pi}$ for normalized operators A. For $J\to\infty$, the upper bound goes to infinity, allowing localized but normalized quasiprobability distributions $W_A(\theta,\phi) = \delta_{\theta-\theta'}\delta_{\phi-\phi'}/\sin\theta$ w.r.t. both θ and ϕ, which correspond to classical vectors pointing to the surface of a sphere of unit radius.

4. Implications

The Stratonovich postulates provide an abstract formulation for the phase-space representation of spins. Here, we showed the most important links between Wigner functions of finite- and infinite-dimensional quantum systems and how to interpret basic properties of phase-space representations. Phase-space coordinates $(R\cos\theta/N_J,\phi)$ of spins span the surface of a sphere. These two coordinates form a Heisenberg pair with $[\phi, R\cos\theta/N_J]_\star = i$ and consequently $R\cos\theta/N_J$ generates the translation of the coordinate ϕ corresponding to the rotation of the sphere around the z axis. The coordinate ϕ has no unique matrix representation for a finite spin J. We also showed that an upper bound for the absolute value of a normalized Wigner functions is proportional to the number of degrees of freedom $(2J{+}1)$.

C. Wigner functions and quaternions

In this section, we introduce a variant of Wigner functions based on quaternions. Quaternions can be represented by 2×2 matrices and the quaternionic product by matrix multiplication. In Sec. V C 1, we show that the Wigner transformation of these matrices combined with the star product derived in Sec. III C also provides a valid representation of quaternions. Quaternions can also be represented as a three-dimensional vector equipped

with a scalar part, offering a geometrical interpretation of the quaternionic product. In Sec. V C 2, we show how a three-dimensional vector, corresponding to the Pauli vector can be mapped onto Wigner functions. We provide an explicit form for the quaternionic product in this case.

Based on these results we show in Sec. V C 3 that the star product of spin-1/2 operators is formally analogous to the quaternionic product, when applied to quaternionic Wigner representations. This offers a geometrical interpretation for the star product derived in Sec. III C.

1. Matrix representation of quaternions

The set $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions can be identified with a four-dimensional vector space over real numbers $\mathbb{R}^4$. Every element $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is given as a linear combination of the basis elements 1, i, j, and k, where $\mathrm{i}^2 = \mathrm{j}^2 = \mathrm{k}^2 = \mathrm{ijk} = -1$. Quaternions can be identified with 2×2 matrices spanned by the basis elements $1 \cong \mathbb{1}_2$, $\mathrm{i} \cong -i\sigma_x$, $\mathrm{j} \cong -i\sigma_y$, $\mathrm{k} \cong -i\sigma_z$, where matrix multiplication replaces the quaternionic product. A quaternionic Wigner representation is obtained by mapping the Pauli matrices to their respective Wigner functions (see Sec. III B for the Wigner transformation), i.e., $W_1 \coloneqq 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $W_\mathrm{i} \coloneqq -iW_x = -i\mathcal{W}(\sigma_x)$, $W_\mathrm{j} \coloneqq -iW_y = -i\mathcal{W}(\sigma_y)$, $W_\mathrm{k} \coloneqq -iW_z = -i\mathcal{W}(\sigma_z)$ where the Wigner representations have the form

$$W_x = 2R\sin\theta\cos\phi,\ W_y = 2R\sin\theta\sin\phi,\ W_z = 2R\cos\theta. \tag{88}$$

The corresponding quaternionic multiplication is given by the star product described in Sec. III C, namely $W_\mathrm{i} \star W_\mathrm{i} = W_\mathrm{j} \star W_\mathrm{j} = W_\mathrm{k} \star W_\mathrm{k} = W_\mathrm{i} \star W_\mathrm{j} \star W_\mathrm{k} = -W_1$.

2. Vectorial representation of quaternions

There are other ways to represent quaternions and the quaternionic product. Let us identify an arbitrary quaternion $q \in \mathbb{H}$ with a four-dimensional real vector $h = h(q) = (r, \vec{v})$ where $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real number and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ defines a three-dimensional real vector. The product of two quaternions $(r_1, \vec{v}_1)$ and $(r_2, \vec{v}_2)$ is now given by [72]

$$(r_1, \vec{v}_1)(r_2, \vec{v}_2) = (r_1 r_2 - \vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{v}_2, r_1\vec{v}_2 + r_2\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_1\times\vec{v}_2), \tag{89}$$

where $\vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{v}_2$ denotes the scalar product and $\vec{v}_1\times\vec{v}_2$ is the cross product.

We can directly translate this into the Wigner representation. The vectorial part $\vec{v}$ of the quaternion is replaced by the Wigner function $\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}}$ [73], while the scalar part is replaced by the identity element $W_1 \coloneqq 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$. The Wigner function W_h of a quaternion $h = (r, \vec{v})$ is then given by $\mathrm{W}_h = rW_1 + v_x W_x + v_y W_y + v_z W_z$ where the basis operators are defined as Wigner representations of the Pauli matrices, see Eq. (88). The scalar product for the Wigner functions corresponding to quaternions is given in terms of spherical functions by

$$\langle f|g\rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} f(\theta,\phi)g(\theta,\phi)\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi. \tag{90}$$

One can write the real coefficients (r, v_x, v_y, v_z) as projections onto the basis functions $r = \langle W_1|\mathrm{W}_h\rangle$ and $v_\alpha = \langle W_\alpha|\mathrm{W}_h\rangle$ with $\alpha \in \{x, y, z\}$. Note that the factor 1/2 before the integral in Eq. (90) is included to obtain normalized basis elements such that $\langle W_1|W_1\rangle = \langle W_\alpha|W_\alpha\rangle = 1$.

This construction represents quaternions in the Wigner space as real valued functions. The correspondence between $h = (r, \vec{v})$ and $\mathrm{W}_h = rW_1 + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}}$ is also implied by the rotational covariance of a three-dimensional vector $\vec{v}$ and its Wigner representation $\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}}$. The respective quaternionic product in this case is detailed in the following

Lemma 7. *Given two quaternions $h_1 = (r_1, \vec{v}_1)$ and $h_2 = (r_2, \vec{v}_2)$, their product $h_3 = h_1 h_2$ is defined in Eq. (89). The corresponding Wigner representations $\mathrm{W}_{h_1} = r_1 W_1 + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}$, $\mathrm{W}_{h_2} = r_2 W_1 + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}$, and $\mathrm{W}_{h_3} = r_3 W_1 + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_3}$ satisfy $r_3 = (r_1 r_2 - \langle \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}|\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_3} = r_1\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2} + r_2\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1} - \frac{1}{2}\{\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}, \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\}$, where the scalar product $\langle \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}|\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\rangle$ is defined in Eq. (90) and $\{\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}, \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\}$ is the Poisson bracket as defined in Eq. (40).*

Proof. The equation $\langle \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}|\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\rangle = \vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{v}_2 = v_x v_x + v_y v_y + v_z v_z$ is implied by the orthonormality of basis elements, i.e., $\langle W_a|W_b\rangle = \delta_{ab}$ holds for $a, b \in \{1, x, y, z\}$. Finally, the Wigner representation $\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1\times\vec{v}_2}$ of the cross product is equal to $-\{\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}, \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\}/2$, which can be verified by computing Poisson brackets $-\{W_\alpha, W_\beta\}/2 = \sum_\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} W_\gamma$ of basis elements. Here, $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ denotes the fully antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol. □

3. Relation to the star product

We will now explain how the star product defined in Sec. III C can be decomposed into a sum of different terms which all relate to a simple multiplication, scalar product, or cross product originating from the quaternionic product in Eq. (89).

Consider the matrix representation $s_1 = r_1\mathbb{1}_2 - i\vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{\sigma}$, and $s_2 = r_2\mathbb{1}_2 - i\vec{v}_2\cdot\vec{\sigma}$ of two quaternions with $\vec{\sigma} \coloneqq (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ (see Sec. V C 1). The Wigner functions can be written as $\mathsf{W}_{s_1} = r_1 W_1 - i\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}$ and $\mathsf{W}_{s_2} = r_2 W_1 - i\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}$. The Wigner representation of three-dimensional vectors was discussed in Sec. V C 2 and it was shown in Sec. V C 1 that the quaternionic product corresponds to the star product [see Eq. (48)]

$$\mathsf{W}_{s_1} \star \mathsf{W}_{s_2} = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}\mathsf{W}_{s_1}\mathsf{W}_{s_2} - \tfrac{i}{2}\mathcal{P}\{\mathsf{W}_{s_1}, \mathsf{W}_{s_2}\}, \tag{91}$$

which consists of a sum of two terms. The second term in Eq. (91) is proportional to a Poisson bracket and equals

$$-\tfrac{i}{2}\mathcal{P}\{\mathsf{W}_{s_1}, \mathsf{W}_{s_2}\} = \tfrac{i}{2}\{\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}, \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\} = -i\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1\times\vec{v}_2};$$

this illustrates the connection to the cross product $\vec{v}_1\times\vec{v}_2$ corresponding to the vectorial parts of two quaternions.

The first term in Eq. (91) is a projected pointwise product of Wigner functions and can be computed as

$$\mathcal{P}\sqrt{2\pi}(\mathsf{W}_{s_1}\mathsf{W}_{s_2}) = r_1 r_2 W_1 + r_1(-i\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}) + r_2(-i\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}) - \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}) \tag{92}$$

by applying the definitions of W_{s_1} and W_{s_2}. The last term in Eq. (92) is the projection of $\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2})$ onto the set of rank-zero spherical harmonics (as there are no rank-one contributions). Hence, we obtain

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}) = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathrm{Y}_{00}\int_{\theta=0}^{\pi}\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi}\mathrm{Y}_{00}^{\star}\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2} \times \sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi = \langle \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1}|\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2}\rangle W_1 = (\vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{v}_2)W_1. \tag{93}$$

Finally, substituting all results back into Eq. (91), the star product can be written as

$$\mathsf{W}_{s_1}\star\mathsf{W}_{s_2} = (r_1 r_2 - \vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{v}_2)\,W_1 - i[r_1\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_2} + r_2\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1} + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_1\times\vec{v}_2}].$$

Consequently, the star product of Wigner functions for a single spin 1/2 (as given in Sec. III C) can be nicely described in geometrical terms related to quaternionic products.

4. Implications

The density operator of a spin-1/2 state can be represented in a geometrical fashion by mapping the Pauli vector $\vec{\sigma}$ onto $\vec{v}\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{1}_2$ onto $r\in\mathbb{R}$, refer to Sec. V C 3. The Wigner transformation of this geometrized density operator is then given by $W_\rho = r_\rho W_1 + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho}$, and also $W_{\mathcal{H}} = r_{\mathcal{H}}W_1 + \mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}}}$, refer to Sec. V C 2. The star product of two such Wigner functions was determined in Sec. III C and can be decomposed into a sum of different terms, where each term from Eq. (47) can be given a geometrical interpretation. The Poisson bracket in Eq. (47) $\frac{i}{2}\{\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho},\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}}}\}$ is the analogue of the cross product of two vectors and results in $-i\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho\times\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}}}$. The projection of the product $\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho}\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}}})$ in Eq. (47) results in the scalar product of two vectors $(\vec{v}_\rho\cdot\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}})W_1$. Consequently, the time evolution from Eq. (54) translates to $\partial\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho}/\partial t = 2\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho\times\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}}}$. For a single spin 1/2 in an external magnetic field $\vec{B}$ the Hamiltonian has the form $\vec{v}_{\mathcal{H}} = \gamma\vec{B}/2$ with γ being the gyromagnetic ratio. The time evolution is therefore given by $\partial\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho}/\partial t = \gamma\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}_\rho\times\vec{B}}$, and is formally identical to the classical equation of motion, refer also to Theorem 5 in [25]. But we emphasize that non-hermitian states cannot be represented using a single quaternion, which can be very well achieved using a single Wigner function, see Figure 12. Non-hermitian spin operators are discussed further in Section V D.

D. Evolution of non-hermitian states

We consider the time evolution of non-hermitian states to highlight that Wigner functions offer a natural way to represent also non-hermitian spin operators. In Section V D 1, we discuss the time evolution of the coherence state I_- of a single spin, while Section V D 2 provides an alternative representation for a two-spin example from Section II B 2 utilizing a decomposition of the Wigner function of a hermitian operator into non-hermitian parts.

1. Evolution of a single spin

We consider the evolution of non-hermitian single-spin states. Starting from the state $\tilde{\rho} = I_- = \mathrm{T}_{1,-1}$, the corresponding Wigner function is $W_{\tilde{\rho}}(\theta,\phi) = \mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}(\theta,\phi)$. The time evolution is then determined by Eq. (9) as $\partial W_{\tilde{\rho}}(\theta,\phi,0)/\partial t = \omega\{\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1},\mathrm{Y}_{10}\}/\sqrt{2} = i\omega\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$ [see, e.g., Eq. (46)]. The Wigner function $W_{\tilde{\rho}}(t) = \exp(i\omega t)\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$ picks up only a phase factor during the evolution. Figure 12 shows $W_{\tilde{\rho}}(t)$ at different times. The Wigner functions capture the rotational covariance of the coherence state I_-. The colors yellow and blue correspond to the phase factors i and $-i$, respectively.

2. Two coupled spins

The solution of the Wigner function in Eq. (22) can be rewritten in terms of spherical harmonics as

$$W_\rho(t) = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(t)[\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}(\theta_1,\phi_1) - \mathrm{Y}_{11}(\theta_1,\phi_1)]\mathrm{Y}_{00}(\theta_2,\phi_2) + \tfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}s(t)[\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}(\theta_1,\phi_1) + \mathrm{Y}_{11}(\theta_1,\phi_1)]\mathrm{Y}_{10}(\theta_2,\phi_2).$$

Rearranging the terms as $W_\rho(t) = W_A(t) + W_A^\star(t)$ with

$$W_A(t) = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}(\theta_1,\phi_1) \times[c(t)\mathrm{Y}_{00}(\theta_2,\phi_2) + is(t)Y_{10}(\theta_2,\phi_2)], \tag{94}$$

the time dependence can entirely be brought into the second spin, see Fig. 13. The overall Wigner function is still hermitian, even though it is represented as a sum of two not necessarily hermitian operators.



FIG. 12. (Color online) Evolution of the non-hermitian state $\tilde{\rho} = I_-$ under the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \omega I_z$. The Wigner function $W_{\tilde{\rho}}(t) = \exp(i\omega t)\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}$ is plotted at times $t = 0$, $\omega t = \pi/2$, and $\omega t = \pi$. The rainbow colors represent the complex phase factor $\exp(i\eta)$ of the Wigner function. The color changes clockwise from red (dark gray) at the phase value of zero via yellow to green (light gray) at the phase value of $\eta = \pi$ and continues via blue back to red at the phase value of $\eta = 2\pi$, refer to the color bar.



FIG. 13. (Color online) Alternative representation of the density operator $\rho(t) = \cos(\pi\nu t)I_{1x} + \sin(\pi\nu t)2I_{1y}I_{2z}$ by the Wigner function in the form $W_\rho(t) = W_A(t) + W_A^*(t)$ as shown in Eq. (94). The Wigner function $W_A^*(t)$ can be obtained by rotating the Wigner function $W_A(t)$ by π around the x axis. Only the spherical function of the second spin is time dependent. The second component of $W_A(t)$ corresponds to $\cos(\pi\nu t)\mathrm{Y}_{00} + i\sin(\pi\nu t)Y_{10}(\theta_2,\phi_2)$, and the first one to $\mathrm{Y}_{1,-1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)$.

VI. CONCLUSION

We presented a general approach for representing arbitrary coupled spin operators in the form of spherical functions, which we propose as an extension and unification of Wigner function formalisms for single spins. This gives rise to the possibility of visualizing spin operators in terms of a linear combination of spherical harmonics.

We were especially interested in how the time evolution of a spin system can be predicted if only the Wigner representations of both the Hamiltonian and the density operator are available. We introduced a general method for computing the time evolution of arbitrary spin-1/2 systems while operating directly on their Wigner functions. This method is based on a generalization of the Poisson bracket, which consists of partial derivatives for both the Hamiltonian and the density operator. Hence, we provide an interpretation for how the time evolution in the Wigner space is governed. We focused in this work on the non-trivial case of coupled spins 1/2, while the generalization to arbitrary spin numbers J will be considered elsewhere.

In order to describe the time evolution of spins 1/2, the star product of two spherical functions was discussed and developed in detail, and its properties were studied. Simplified formulas for the time evolution have been given for up to three spins 1/2. Multiple examples were analyzed and visualized to convey important features of our approach and to stress the operator decomposition into sums of product operators. We also discussed how the Wigner representation of spins is related to the canonical angular momentum and quaternions. Moreover, its relation to the classical, infinite-dimensional Wigner representation was investigated.

There are different possibilities for mapping spin operators onto visualizable objects like vectors or spherical functions. We focused on the Wigner-function technique generalizing spherical functions and applied these to coupled spins. This phase-space representation transforms naturally under arbitrary rotations of the individual spins. Similar visualization approaches such as the DROPS representation of [48] might have advantages for certain applications, and we hope to extend our method for modeling the time evolution in the Wigner representation to these approaches in the future.

More broadly, we have made theoretical concepts usually established in the context of Wigner functions more palpable by visualizing their effects using three-dimensional illustrations. This provides a convenient tool for analyzing the time evolutions of finite-dimensional quantum systems using Wigner functions and facilitates the adoption of the Wigner formalism for coupled spin systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

B.K. acknowledges financial support from the scholarship program of the Bavarian Academic Center for Central, Eastern and Southeastern Europe (BAYHOST). R.Z. and S.J.G. acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Grant No. Gl 203/7-2.

Appendix A: Tensor operators, embedded operators, and normalization factors

Here, we provide a short tutorial on tensor operators, embedded operators, and normalization factors as far as they are used in Secs. II, III, and IV. The four single-spin tensor operators $\mathrm{T}_{00} = \mathbb{1}/\sqrt{2}$, $\mathrm{T}_{1,-1}$, T_{10}, and T_{11} from Eq. (3) span the space of all 2×2 matrices, and they conform to the defining relation in Eq. (4). Any 2×2 matrix A can be expanded in terms of the tensor operators T_{jm} as $A = \sum_{j\in\{0,1\}}\sum_{-j\le m\le j}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{T}_{jm}^\dagger A)\,\mathrm{T}_{jm}$. This follows since tensor operators are chosen as orthonormal, i.e., the product $\mathrm{T}_{jm}^\dagger\mathrm{T}_{j'm'}$ of any two of them has trace one for identical operators with $j = j'$ and $m = m'$, and trace zero otherwise. However, Cartesian product operators, such as I_x are only orthogonal, and not normalized [e.g. $\mathrm{tr}(I_x^\dagger I_x) = 1/2$].

Operators for a two-spin system can be decomposed into sums of tensor products $\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}$ of two 2×2 matrices. There are sixteen linearly independent tensor product operators of this form, providing an orthonormal basis of 4×4 matrices (cf. Lemma 2). The tensor product

operators can be divided into four subsets depending on which spins they act on: The normalized identity operator $\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}$ acts on no spin at all. The normalized linear operators $\mathrm{T}_{1m}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}$ with $m\in\{-1,0,1\}$ act on the first spin, and $\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{1m}$ acts on the second one. Finally, the normalized bilinear operators $\mathrm{T}_{1m_1}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{1m_2}$ act on both spins ($m_1,m_2\in\{-1,0,1\}$).

Similarly, one can also introduce embedded operators $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{1m}:=\mathrm{T}_{1m}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{00}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{1m}:=\mathrm{T}_{00}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{1m}$ which are single-spin operators embedded into 4×4 matrices (cf. Lemma 1). These embedded operators enable a description without (explicit) tensor products. This implies that a bilinear operator $\mathrm{T}_{j_1m_1}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{j_2m_2}$ can written as a matrix product of embedded operators with an additional normalization factor. For example, the tensor product

$$B=\mathrm{T}_{10}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{11}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}&0\\0&\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{pmatrix}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0&-1\\0&0\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0&\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

is normalized. Using the normalized single-spin operators

$$\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}&0&0&0\\0&\frac{1}{2}&0&0\\0&0&\frac{-1}{2}&0\\0&0&0&\frac{-1}{2}\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{11}=\begin{pmatrix}0&\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

we can form the matrix product

$$\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{11}=\begin{pmatrix}0&\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}}&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}=B/2,$$

which differs from $B=\mathrm{T}_{10}\otimes\mathrm{T}_{11}$ by a factor of 2; $B/2$ has a squared norm of $\mathrm{tr}(B^\dagger B)/4=1/4$. The normalization factors for general spin systems are given in Table IV. Products of embedded single-spin operators commute if they act on different spins, e.g. $\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10}\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{11}=\mathrm{T}^{\{2\}}_{11}\mathrm{T}^{\{1\}}_{10}$.

Appendix B: Visualization of Wigner functions

In this appendix, we shortly discuss certain properties of the visualization of Wigner functions introduced in Sec. II. The Wigner functions of product operators in a two-spin system are in general of the form $\lambda f(\theta_1,\phi_1)g(\theta_2,\phi_2)$ with $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. This is visualized as two overlapping circles to reflect the product nature of the spherical functions. The first circle contains the Wigner function $\sqrt{\lambda}f(\theta_1,\phi_1)$, and the second one contains $\sqrt{\lambda}g(\theta_2,\phi_2)$. The prefactor λ is distributed equally, but different choices are possible, and the size of the visualized objects can vary assuming that λ stays constant.

If the desired operator is a linear combination of product operators, it is represented as a sum of product operators in the PROPS representation. However the decomposition of an operator into sums of product operators is not unique, consequently there are different visualizations possible for the same operator. In a system of N spins 1/2 there are 4^N independent basis operators, and these can be combined in order to minimize the number of product operators in a sum decomposition. We provide a MATHEMATICA package for computing with examples of Wigner functions of spin operators, their Poisson brackets, and the time evolution [74].

For a two-spin system there are sixteen independent product operators, but any operator can be decomposed into a sum of at most four product operators. This is verified using Table VI where basis operators are grouped such that any linear combination within the same row or column results again in a product operator, for example

$$\begin{aligned}&aI_{2z}+bI_{1x}I_{2z}+cI_{1y}I_{2z}+dI_{1z}I_{2z}\\&=(a\,\mathbb{1}+bI_{1x}+cI_{1y}+dI_{1z})I_{2z}.\end{aligned}$$

TABLE VI. Cartesian product operators in a two-spin system. Any linear combination of operators in the same column or row remains a product operator.

$\mathbb{1}_4$	I_{1x}	I_{1y}	I_{1z}
I_{2x}	$I_{1x}I_{2x}$	$I_{1y}I_{2x}$	$I_{1z}I_{2x}$
I_{2y}	$I_{1x}I_{2y}$	$I_{1y}I_{2y}$	$I_{1z}I_{2y}$
I_{2z}	$I_{1x}I_{2z}$	$I_{1y}I_{2z}$	$I_{1z}I_{2z}$

Appendix C: Stratonovich postulates

We summarize the postulates on which the Wigner representation for spins relies. Starting from the original set of postulates defined by Stratonovich [58], we generalize them to the Wigner representation of coupled spins.

1. Stratonovich postulates for a single spin

The Wigner representation for spins is a generalization of the flat phase-space representation (p,q) of quantum mechanics to the phase-space representation $(R\cos\theta,\phi)$ over the sphere. In the generalized Wigner representation, spin operators are mapped onto spherical functions. This mapping is based on the Stratonovich postulates [58], providing four fundamental requirements for pairs of operators and their corresponding Wigner functions. Let us denote the Wigner function of an arbitrary spin operator A as W_A, then the postulates are given by

(i) linearity: $A\to W_A$ is one-to-one,

(ii) reality: if $B=A^\dagger$, then $W_B=W_A^*$,

(iiia) normalization: $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{1}A)=\int_{S^2}W_{\mathbb{1}}W_A\mathrm{d}\Omega$,

(iiib) traciality: $\mathrm{tr}(B^\dagger A)=\int_{S^2}W_B^*W_A\mathrm{d}\Omega$,



FIG. 14. (Color online) The DROPS representation using the LISA basis is compared to the PROPS representations. For a single spin 1/2, the DROPS representation consists in general of two spherical function where the first one corresponds to the identity part $\mathbb{1}_2$ which acts on no spin at all and the second one corresponds in this example to the operator $-I_y$. Both contributions are combined in the PROPS representation. The DROPS representation of two coupled spins 1/2 consists in general of four spherical functions: the identity part $\mathbb{1}_4$, linear operators such as I_{2x} and I_{2y} acting on the first and second spin, as well as bilinear operators such as $2I_{1z}I_{2z}$ acting on both spins. The number of product operators in the PROPS representation can be in certain cases reduced by combining some of its components, e.g., $I_{1x} + I_{2y} + 2I_{1z}I_{2z} + \mathbb{1}_4$ equals to $(I_x + \mathbb{1}_2/2) \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 + \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes (I_y + \mathbb{1}_2/2) + 2I_{1z}I_{2z}$ [51].

(iv) covariance: $W_{\mathcal{R}(A)}(\Omega) = W_A(\mathcal{R}^{-1}\Omega)$,

where $\mathrm{d}\Omega$ denotes the surface element $\sin\theta \mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\phi$ in the spherical phase space. A consequence of the postulate (ii) is that Wigner functions of a hermitian operator are real functions. The postulates (iiia) and (iiib) can be reinterpreted by introducing the scalar products $\langle B|A\rangle = \mathrm{tr}(B^\dagger A)$ for matrices and $\langle W_B|W_A\rangle = \int_{S^2} W_B^* W_A \mathrm{d}\Omega$ for Wigner functions (by integrating over the unit sphere). Then, the postulates (iiia) and (iiib) can be summarized as $\langle B|A\rangle = \langle W_B|W_A\rangle$. The postulate (iv) determines the Wigner function of an operator A if A is rotated by a unitary conjugation resulting in $\mathcal{R}(A)$, then the arguments of the Wigner function (or equivalently the sphere) are rotated inversely by the same rotation.

2. Generalization of the Stratonovich postulates

We extend the Wigner formalism to multiple spins. The postulates (iii) and (iv) are generalized in order to interpret the Stratonovich postulates for the Wigner representation of N spins as mapping operators onto sums of function on N spheres. The condition in postulate (iii) is extended to an integral over N spheres as $\int_{S_1^2} \ldots \int_{S_N^2} W_B^* W_A \mathrm{d}\Omega_1 \ldots \mathrm{d}\Omega_N$. For the PROPS representation, Postulate (iv) is generalized by interpreting $\mathcal{R}$ as an arbitrary rotation in the form of a product of local rotations as $\mathcal{R} = \prod_k^N \mathcal{R}_k$. An arbitrary local rotation $\mathcal{R}_k$ acting on spin k is described in the Wigner space as the inverse rotation of the kth sphere $W_A(\Omega_1, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}\Omega_k, \ldots \Omega_N)$ of the corresponding Wigner representation. The mapping of spin operators onto spherical functions described in [48] satisfies the generalized Stratonovich postulates under simultaneous rotations $\mathcal{R}$ built from equal rotations $\mathcal{R}_k$ of all spheres. Consequently, the current approach generalizes the rotational covariance criterion in [48] to arbitrary local rotations. Finally, the generalized postulates are

(i) linearity: $A \to W_A$ is one-to-one,

(ii) reality: if $B = A^\dagger$, then $W_B = W_A^*$,

(iiia) normalization: $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{1}A) = \underset{S_1^2 \ldots S_N^2}{\int\cdots\int} W_{\mathbb{1}} W_A \prod_k^N \mathrm{d}\Omega_k$,



FIG. 15. (Color online) Graphical visualization of the equation of motion for a single spin 1/2. a) and b) depict the Hamiltonian and density operator from Eqs. (7)-(8). Individual steps illustrate how the equation of motion for a single spin 1/2 in g) [refer to Eq. (9)] is derived by specifying c) the Poisson brackets (c.f. Fig 1), d) the pre-star products, e) the star products, and finally f) the star commutator of the Wigner functions $W_{\mathcal{H}}$ and W_ρ [51]. Refer to the main text for further details.

(iiib) traciality: $\mathrm{tr}(B^\dagger A) = \int_{S_1^2}\cdots\int_{S_N^2} W_B^* W_A \prod_k^N \mathrm{d}\Omega_k,$

(iv) covariance: $W_{\mathcal{R}(A)}(\Omega_1,\ldots,\Omega_N) = W_A(\mathcal{R}_1^{-1}\Omega_1,\ldots,\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}\Omega_N).$

Appendix D: Comparison to the DROPS representation

We compare our visualization technique with the so-called DROPS representation from [48] both of which represent operators of coupled spin systems in the form of a collection of spherical functions. A particular case of the DROPS representation is given by the LISA basis [48] which is spanned by a set of tensor operators $T_{jm}^{(\ell)}$ with $\ell \in L$. Each element $T_{jm}^{(\ell)}$ is mapped to a spherical harmonic Y_{jm} and transforms naturally under simultaneous rotations of all spins. The DROPS representation using the LISA basis provides a compact visualization of coupled spin operators by plotting $|L|$ spherical functions. Figure 14 contains a comparison for spin operators of one and two spins.

Appendix E: Time evolution of a single spin 1/2

We further detail the derivation of the equation of motion for a single spin 1/2 which had been deferred from Sec. II A 2 to this appendix. Figure 15 illustrates the individual steps required to derive the time evolution of the Wigner function for a single spin 1/2: Subfigures a) and b) contain graphical representation of the Hamiltonian and density operator from Eqs. (7)-(8). In Subfigure c), the Poisson bracket of $W_\mathcal{H}$ and W_ρ is computed following Fig. 1; the Poisson bracket is antisymmetric with respect to the order of its two arguments. The pre-star product is decomposed in Subfigure d) into a sum of the pointwise product and the Poisson bracket of $W_\mathcal{H}$ and W_ρ, and the summands are weighted by the prefactors $\sqrt{2\pi}$ and $-i/2$, respectively [see Eq. (47)]. In Subfigure e), the star product, which is the analog of the matrix product of the two Wigner functions $W_\mathcal{H}$ and W_ρ (see Sec. III B 3), is obtained by projecting the pre-star product onto spherical harmonics of rank-zero and one [refer to Eq. (48)]. Subfigure f) shows the star commutator as the star analog of the matrix commutator (see Sec. III B 3). Finally, Subfigure g) determines the time evolution of the density operator by specifying its time derivative. The result in this particular case conforms with the general argument that the time evolution is given by the Poisson bracket of $W_\mathcal{H}$ and W_ρ.

Appendix F: Integral form of the star product

Similarly as in [25], we evaluate the integral form of the star product of Wigner functions corresponding to arbitrary operators A and B acting on a single spin J. Based on the explicit form of the kernel for the Wigner transformation in Eq. (25) and the expansion formula of tensor-operator matrix products in Eq. (38), we evaluate the explicit form of the trikernel. Let us first consider the matrix product AB of two arbitrary operators A and B of a spin J and the corresponding Wigner function W_{AB}, which is obtained according to Eq. (25) as

$$\mathcal{W}(AB) := W_{AB}(\theta,\phi) = \mathrm{tr}[\Delta_J(\theta,\phi)AB]. \tag{F1}$$

The definition of the integral star product is derived by substituting A and B in Eq. (F1) with the formula for the inverse Wigner transform of W_A and W_B from Eq. (30). This leads to

$$W_{AB}(\theta,\phi) = W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1) \star W_B(\theta_2,\phi_2) = \int_{\theta_1,\theta_2,\phi_1,\phi_2\geq 0}^{\theta_1,\theta_2\leq\pi,\phi_1,\phi_2\leq 2\pi}\cdots\int \Delta_J^{(T)} W_A W_B \,\sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \,\mathrm{d}\theta_1\,\mathrm{d}\theta_2\,\mathrm{d}\phi_1\,\mathrm{d}\phi_2, \tag{F2}$$

where $W_A := W_A(\theta_1,\phi_1)$ and $W_B := W_B(\theta_2,\phi_2)$ denote Wigner functions of A and B depending on different variables, and the trikernel is given by

$$\Delta_J^{(T)} = \mathrm{tr}[\Delta_J(\theta,\phi)\Delta_J(\theta_1,\phi_1)\Delta_J(\theta_2,\phi_2)]. \tag{F3}$$

Using the expansion formula in Eq. (38) to evaluate the explicit form of the trikernel, one obtains

$$\Delta_J^{(T)} = \sum_{\vec{j},\vec{m}} \mathrm{Y}^*_{j_1 m_1}(\theta_1,\phi_1)\mathrm{Y}^*_{j_2 m_2}(\theta_2,\phi_2) \times \sum_{L=|j_1-j_2|}^{n} Q^{(J)}_{j_1 j_2 L}\, C^{LM}_{j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2}\mathrm{Y}_{LM}(\theta,\phi), \tag{F4}$$

where $\vec{j} = (j_1,j_2)$, $\vec{m} = (m_1,m_2)$ and $0 \leq j_1,j_2 \leq 2J$, and the upper limit n given as $n := \min(j_1+j_2, 2J)$; note $M = m_1+m_2$. With this form of the trikernel, Eq. (F2) can be interpreted in the following way: Integrating over the product $W_A W_B$ multiplied by the trikernel, one obtains the decomposition of both W_A and W_B into spherical harmonics, and the Wigner transformation of the product ${}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_1,m_1}{}^J\mathrm{T}_{j_2,m_2}$ is obtained for each pair $\mathrm{Y}_{j_1 m_1}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{j_2 m_2}$ of spherical harmonics.

[1] H. Weyl, Z. Phys. **46**, 1 (1927).
[2] H. Weyl, *Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik*, 2nd ed. (Hirzel, Leipzig, 1931) english translation in [3].
[3] H. Weyl, *The Theory of Groups & Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Dover Publ., New York, 1950).
[4] E. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749 (1932).

[5] H. Groenewold, Physica **12**, 405 (1946).
[6] J. E. Moyal, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **45**, 99 (1949).
[7] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, and F. Laloe, *Quantum Mechanics*, Quantum Mechanics, Vol. 1 (Wiley, 1991).
[8] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, and D. F. Styer, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals* (Courier Corporation, 2005).
[9] P. Carruthers and F. Zachariasen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 245 (1983).
[10] M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, *Distribution functions in physics: fundamentals* (Springer, 1997).
[11] Y.-S. Kim and M. E. Noz, *Phase space picture of quantum mechanics: group theoretical approach*, Vol. 40 (World Scientific, 1991).
[12] H.-W. Lee, Phys. Rep. **259**, 147 (1995).
[13] M. Gadella, Fortschr. Phys. **43**, 229 (1995).
[14] C. K. Zachos, D. B. Fairlie, and T. L. Curtright, *Quantum mechanics in phase space: an overview with selected papers*, Vol. 34 (World Scientific, 2005).
[15] F. E. Schroeck Jr, *Quantum mechanics on phase space*, Vol. 74 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
[16] W. P. Schleich, *Quantum Optics in Phase Space* (Wiley-VCH, 2001).
[17] T. L. Curtright, D. B. Fairlie, and C. K. Zachos, *A Concise Treatise on Quantum Mechanics in Phase Space* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2014).
[18] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, Ann. Phys. **111**, 61 (1978).
[19] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, Ann. Phys. **111**, 111 (1978).
[20] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Course on Theoretical Physics* (Pergamon Press, 1976).
[21] We do not consider the so-called discrete Wigner representation for finite-dimensional systems, cf. [22–24].
[22] W. K. Wootters, Ann. Phys. **176**, 1 (1987).
[23] U. Leonhardt, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2998 (1996).
[24] K. S. Gibbons, M. J. Hoffman, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 062101 (2004).
[25] J. C. Várilly and J. M. Garcia-Bondía, Ann. Phys. **190**, 107 (1989).
[26] C. Brif and A. Mann, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 971 (1999).
[27] A. B. Klimov and P. Espinoza, J. Phys. A **35**, 8435 (2002).
[28] A. Klimov and P. Espinoza, J. Opt. B **7**, 183 (2005).
[29] T. Tilma, M. J. Everitt, J. H. Samson, W. J. Munro, and K. Nemoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 180401 (2016).
[30] R. Stratonovich, Sov. Phys. D **1**, 414 (1956).
[31] C. Brif and A. Mann, J. Phys. A **31**, L9 (1997).
[32] R. Bishop and A. Vourdas, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 4488 (1994).
[33] R. R. Ernst, G. Bodenhausen, and A. Wokaun, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in One and Two Dimensions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987).
[34] A. Klimov, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 2202 (2002).
[35] J. Gratus, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 4283 (1997).
[36] R. P. Feynman, F. L. Vernon, Jr., and R. W. Hellwarth, J. Appl. Phys. **28**, 49 (1957).
[37] M. A. Bernstein, K. F. King, and X. J. Zhou, *Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences* (Elsevier, Burlington-San Diego-London, 2004).
[38] G. Racah, Phys. Rev. **62**, 438 (1942).
[39] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed. (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999).
[40] A. Pines, S. Vega, D. J. Ruben, T. W. Shattuck, and D. E. Wemmer, in *Magnetic Resonance in Condensed Matter: Recent Developments, Proceedings of the IVth Ampere International Summer School, Pula, Yugoslavia*, edited by R. Blinc and G. Lahajnar (University of Ljubljana, 1976) pp. 127–179.
[41] T. K. Halstead and P. A. Osment, J. Magn. Reson. **60**, 382 (1984).
[42] B. C. Sanctuary and T. K. Halstead, Adv. Opt. NMR Reson. **15**, 97 (1991).
[43] J. P. Dowling, G. S. Agarwal, and W. P. Schleich, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 4101 (1994).
[44] P. S. Jessen, D. L. Haycock, G. Klose, G. A. Smith, I. H. Deutsch, and G. K. Brennen, Quant. Inf. Comp. **1**, 20 (2001).
[45] D. J. Philp and P. W. Kuchel, Concepts Magn. Reso. A **25A**, 40 (2005).
[46] S. T. Merkel, P. S. Jessen, and I. H. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 023404 (2008).
[47] D. Harland, M. J. Everitt, K. Nemoto, T. Tilma, and T. P. Spiller, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062117 (2012).
[48] A. Garon, R. Zeier, and S. J. Glaser, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 042122 (2015).
[49] As usual, the Cartesian spin operators are defined as $I_x = \sigma_x/2$, $I_y = \sigma_y/2$, and $I_z = \sigma_z/2$, where the Pauli matrices are $\sigma_x = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\sigma_y = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and $\sigma_z = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.
[50] Similarly as for $\rho(0)$, the time derivative of $\rho(t)$ decomposes into a linear combination of the tensor operators $\mathrm{T}_{1,-1}$ and T_{11}. It follows that the general solution can be parameterized as $\rho(t) = a(t)\,\mathrm{T}_{1,-1} - b(t)\,\mathrm{T}_{11}$ with $a(0) = b(0) = 1/2$. This formula is substituted back into the von-Neumann equation [see Eq. (1)] and yields $\partial[a(t)\mathrm{T}_{1,-1} - b(t)\mathrm{T}_{11}]/\partial t = i\omega[a(t)\mathrm{T}_{1,-1} + b(t)\mathrm{T}_{11}]$, which splits up into the equations $\partial a(t)/\partial t = i\omega a(t)$ and $\partial b(t)/\partial t = -i\omega b(t)$. Consequently, the solution is given by $a(t) = \exp(i\omega t)/2$ and $b(t) = \exp(-i\omega t)/2$.
[51] Hermitian operators result only in positive and negative values depicted as red (dark gray) and green (light gray).
[52] The Wigner function can be written as $W_\rho(\theta,\phi,t) = R\sin\theta[a(t)\cos\phi + b(t)\sin\phi]$ with $a(0) = 1$ and $b(0) = 0$. Substituting this parametrization back into Eq. (9), one derives the differential equation $\sin\theta[(\partial a(t)/\partial t)\cos\phi + (\partial b(t)/\partial t)\sin\phi] = \omega\sin\theta[a(t)\sin\phi - b(t)\cos\phi]$, which splits up into $\partial a(t)/\partial t = -\omega b(t)$ and $\partial b(t)/\partial t = \omega a(t)$.
[53] Computing the second time derivative $-\partial^2\rho(0)/\partial t^2$ via the double commutator $[\mathcal{H},[\mathcal{H},\rho(0)]] = \pi^2\nu^2\rho(0)$ and applying the formulas $[\mathrm{T}_{10}^{\{1\}}\mathrm{T}_{10}^{\{2\}}, \mathrm{T}_{1,-1}^{\{1\}}\mathrm{T}_{10}^{\{2\}}] = -\mathrm{T}_{1,-1}^{\{1\}}/4$ and $[\mathrm{T}_{10}^{\{1\}}\mathrm{T}_{10}^{\{2\}}, \mathrm{T}_{11}^{\{1\}}\mathrm{T}_{10}^{\{2\}}] = \mathrm{T}_{1,1}^{\{1\}}/4$, the result follows.
[54] The differential equation $\partial[a(t)A + b(t)B]/\partial t = \pi\nu[a(t)B - b(t)A]$, decomposes into $\partial a(t)/\partial t = -\pi\nu b(t)$ and $\partial b(t)/\partial t = \pi\nu a(t)$. The solution follows from $a(0) = 1$, $b(0) = 0$, $a(t) = \cos(\pi\nu t)$, and $b(t) = \sin(\pi\nu t)$.
[55] The term $\cos^2\theta_2$ is proportional to $\mathcal{W}(I_{2z})\mathcal{W}(I_{2z})$. Note that in general $\mathcal{P}^{\{k\}}\mathcal{W}(I_{ka})\mathcal{W}(I_{kb}) = \delta_{ab}/[4(2\pi)^N]$ with $a,b \in \{x,y,z\}$ holds for the pointwise product of Wigner functions, where N denotes the number of spins 1/2.
[56] The parametrized Wigner function $W_\rho(t) = a(t)W_A + b(t)W_B$ is substituted into Eq. (16), and one obtains $\partial[a(t)W_A + b(t)W_B]/\partial t = \pi\nu[a(t)W_B - b(t)W_A]$. This splits into $\partial a(t)/\partial t = -\pi\nu b(t)$ and $\partial b(t)/\partial t = \pi\nu a(t)$ and results in $W_\rho(t) = \cos(\pi\nu t)W_A + \sin(\pi\nu t)W_B$.
[57] We verify that $\Delta_J(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{2J}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mathrm{Y}_{j,-m}^{*}\ {}^{J}\mathrm{T}_{j,-m} = \Delta_J^\dagger(\theta,\phi)$ is hermitian by using the Condon-Shortley

phase convention and $\Delta^\dagger_J(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{2J}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mathrm{Y}_{jm}\ {}^J\mathrm{T}^\dagger_{jm}$.

[58] R. L. Stratonovich, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **31**, 1012 (1956).

[59] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).

[60] The lower bound in the summation can be enlarged to $\max(|j_1-j_2|, m_1+m_2)$ without changing the result.

[61] A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics Vol. II.* (1962).

[62] L. Freidel and K. Krasnov, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 1737.

[63] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods For Physicists*, 6th ed. (Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2005).

[64] The prefactor N_J is implied by the formula ${}^J\mathrm{T}_{1,\pm1} = \mp {}^J I_\pm N_J = \mp {}^J I_\pm / \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}({}^J I_\pm\ {}^J I_\mp)}$ where ${}^J I_\pm = {}^J I_x \pm i\,{}^J I_y$. The trace is given by $\mathrm{tr}({}^J I_\pm\ {}^J I_\mp) = \mathrm{tr}[({}^J I_x \pm i\,{}^J I_y)({}^J I_x \mp i\,{}^J I_y)] = \mathrm{tr}[{}^J(I^2)] - \mathrm{tr}[({}^J I_z)^2]$, where ${}^J(I^2) = ({}^J I_x)^2 + ({}^J I_y)^2 + ({}^J I_z)^2$, $\mathrm{tr}[{}^J(I^2)] = J(J{+}1)(2J{+}1)$, and $\mathrm{tr}[({}^J I_z)^2] = \sum_{m=-J}^{J} m^2 = J(J{+}1)(2J{+}1)/3$. It follows that $N_J = 1/\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}({}^J I_\pm {}^J I_\mp)} = 1/\sqrt{2J(J{+}1)(2J{+}1)/3}$.

[65] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK), 2000).

[66] Note that $|\alpha\rangle = (1,0)^T$ and $|\beta\rangle = (0,1)^T$.

[67] One can establish that $\sigma(t)$ satisfies the von-Neumann equation (1) by verifying the commutators $[\mathcal{H}, R_0] = 0$, $[\mathcal{H}, R_c]/i = R_s$, and $[\mathcal{H}, R_s]/i = -R_c$ with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ from Eq. (71).

[68] This can be demonstrated for $\omega W_{R_s} = \partial W_\sigma(0)/\partial t$ [and likewise for $W_\sigma(t)$] by calculating the Poisson brackets $\{W_\sigma(0), W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{1\}} = \omega[-(2\pi)^2\{W_{1x}, W_{1\beta}\}^{\{1\}} W_{2\alpha} W_{2x} + \pi\{W_{1x}, W_{1z}\}^{\{1\}} W_{2\alpha}]$ and $\{W_\sigma(0), W_\mathcal{H}\}^{\{2\}} = \omega[(2\pi)^2 \{W_{2\alpha}, W_{2x}\}^{\{2\}}[W_{1x} + (4\pi)^{-1}]W_{1\beta}]$. Afterwards, they are substituted back into the equation of motion; note the projection formulas $\mathcal{P}^{\{2\}} W_{2\alpha} W_{2x} = W_{2x}/(4\pi)$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\{1\}} W_{1x} W_{1\beta} = W_{1x}/(4\pi)$ as well as $\{W_{1x}, W_{1\beta}\}^{\{1\}} = -W_{1y}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and $\{W_{2\alpha}, W_{2x}\}^{\{2\}} = -W_{2y}/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

[69] J. Keeler, *Understanding NMR spectroscopy* (John Wiley & Sons, 2011).

[70] M. H. Levitt, *Spin dynamics: basics of nuclear magnetic resonance* (John Wiley & Sons, 2001).

[71] J.-P. Amiet and S. Weigert, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 012102 (2000).

[72] S. L. Altmann, *Rotations, quaternions, and double groups* (Courier Corporation, 2005).

[73] The Wigner representation of $\vec{v}\cdot\vec{\sigma} = v_x\sigma_x + v_y\sigma_y + v_z\sigma_z$ is exactly $\mathrm{W}_{\vec{v}}$.

[74] Please refer to the ancillary file.